System Design Considerations

for Automatic Abstracting

A Thesis

Presented in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science.

Ву

Antonio Zamora, B.S. The Ohio State University

1969

Approved by

Adviser

Department of Computer and Information Science

Acknowledgment

Thanks are due to Dr. James E. Rush, Professor of Computer and Information Science at the Ohio State University for evaluating the progress and results of this research; the author is also indebted to Mr. Richard Salvador, who worked closely with the author in the implementation of many of the concepts presented here for the ILM 1620 and IBM 360 computers.

Acknowledgment is given to the National Science Foundation for partial support of this work through a grant (GN 534) to the Computer and Information Science Research Center, and to the Data Center of the College of Commerce and Administration (James A. Black, Director) for allowing extensive use of an IBM 1620 computer during the initial phase of this work.

The author also wishes to acknowledge the support and encouragement given him by the Chemical Abstracts Service by whom he was employed during the course of this work.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ĺ	Abstract							
II	Sta	atement of the Problem						
III	Introduction							
	Α.	Purpose of Automatic Abstracting	1					
	В.	Definition of an Abstract	1					
	C.	Previous Work in Automatic Abstracting	2					
IV	Sys	tem requirements for Automatic Abstracting	5					
	Α.	Methods of Sentence Selection	5					
		1. The Title Method	6					
		2. The Location Method	6					
		a. Contextual Inference	7					
		b. Rejection Criteria	8					
		c. Punctuation	. 9					
		3. The Cue Method	11					
		a. Analysis of sentence weights	12					
		4. Frequency Criteria (the Key Method)	13					
		5. Editorial Clues	16					
	В.	Intersentence References						
	C.	Extension of Automatic Abstracting to						
	other Languages							
	D.	Limitations of Automatic Abstracting	20					

V	Program Requirements							
	Α.	Data Organization						
		l. Lists	24					
		2. Tables	24					
		3. Data Structure for Automatic Abstracting	25					
		4. Logical Operations Possible						
	Β.	Program Interaction						
		1. System Implementation	30					
		2. Algorithms	34					
		a. CARDDISK (Create Dictionary)	34					
		b. MAIN (Create Data Structure)	35					
		c. SORT	38					
	•	d. WORDCIRL (Read Dictionary)	38					
		i. Frequency Criteria	39					
-		ii. Multi-Word Entries	40					
		iii. Hierarchy of Attributes	41					
		e. CHCOMA (Locate Syntactic Features)	41					
		f. SEMANTIC (Apply Abstracting Rules)	43					
		i. The Dictionary	44					
		ii. The Abstracting Algorithm	46					
VI	Con	nclusions	55					
VII	VII Bibliography							
VIII	App	pendix	58					

V

iv

Abstract

This thesis discusses the design of an automatic abstracting system. Methods for selecting material to create an abstract and a data structure which permits effective manipulation of the data are studied. Abstracts are produced solely by applying coherence and contextual inference criteria in the selection and rejection of sentences from the original document. Abstracts which are 10 to 20 percent the size of the original documents have been produced with the aid of a dictionary of approximately 700 entries. v

Statement of the Problem

The initial work in automatic abstracting made use of statistical techniques; subsequent work in automatic abstracting led to the development of positional, cue word, and editorial criteria for selecting sentences for an abstract.

This thesis studies automatic abstracting techniques and their limitations. Existing methods for producing abstracts are extended and new approaches are suggested. The data structure and the computer programs used to test these methods are described.

INTRODUCTION

This paper discusses system design considerations that emerged during the development of computer programs to produce abstracts from full text and articulated indexes from abstracts or full text.

<u>PURPOSE OF AUTOMATIC ABSTRACTING</u>. Abstracts save time for the literature searcher by indicating whether the original document is relevant for a desired purpose or by providing the essential content of the original document. Clearly, the form and content of the abstract depends on its intended use.

Automatic abstracting (or automatic extracting) has as its goal the production of a condensed form of an original document by a computer; hopefully this will reduce the cost of producing abstracts and will make their availability more timely. Automatic abstracting is not limited to the selection of sentences as used by the author; it also has the function of modifying the sentences selected to produce a coherent and informative document. The function of abstracts, reviews of previous work in automatic abstracting, as well as detailed definitions of the objectives of automatic abstracting have been well documented by Wyllys (11), Edmundson (4), and Salvador (9).

<u>DEFINITION OF AN ABSTRACT</u>. An abstract, by definition, should be smaller than the original document; the content and length of an abstract depends on its intended use and on the type of abstract desired.

In this work an abstract is defined as a subset of the original document. The abstract consists of sentences contained in the

original document or of sentences derived from sentences in the original document. Thus, the abstracts produced by the programs discussed here consist of sentences selected from the original document, but some of the selected sentences are modified by removing certain of their parts. No attempt has been made to define the length an abstract should have. The abstract should include, though, the purpose of the work, and the conclusions of the author. Opinions, references to previous work, and subjective notions should be omitted because they are of low information value.

PREVIOUS WORK IN AUTOMATIC ABSTRACTING. The approaches taken by other workers in the preparation of automatic abstracts have been many and varied. Only those which are relevant to the subject of this thesis are presented here.

H. P. Luhn is given credit for first suggesting the possibility that a computer program could produce suitable abstracts (8). The general technique suggested by Luhn was to identify the most significant sentences of a document. Significance was to be judged by the representativeness of the words in the sentence, and the relative position of the representative words of a sentence.

Representativeness of the words was to be judged as follows: a) function words such as pronouns, prepositions, etc., were considered to have no value, b) the least frequent content words were not considered representative, c) content words with frequencies above the least frequent words were considered representative.

The proximity of words of high representativeness was used to

assign the sentence a value; a predetermined number of sentences with the highest values were then printed in the order of appearance in the original article. Luhn's approach is of importance because it has been investigated by many other workers.

The Bunker-Ramo Corporation (previously called Thomson-Ramo-Wooldridge, Inc.) has conducted extensive studies directed by H. P. Edmundson on methods for producing automatic abstracts (2, 10). The Bunker-Ramo studies have shown that there is great potential in four methods of sentence selection; these are called the Cue, Key, Title, and Location methods.

The Cue method makes use of a list of words which are classified as: Bonus words (those that have a positive value or weight in sentence selection), Stigma words (negative words), and Null words (those which are irrelevant toward sentence selection).

The Key method is based on the frequency of occurrence of words, and is similar in approach to Luhn's study.

The Title method is based on a glossary of the words of the title and subtitles (excluding null words); sentences containing words cooccurring in the title are assigned a higher weight than words cooccurring in a subtitle.

The Location method is based on the hypothesis that certain headings precede important passages and that topic sentences occur early or late in a document or paragraph; this hypothesis was also lent support by the observations of Baxendale in her studies of indexing (1).

Edmundson recently evaluated these methods (3) and the results of his evaluation are in agreement with the results initially reported (2). It turns out that the Cue, Title, and Location methods improve the process of selecting sentences (individually or in combination), but the Key method actually degrades the selection process.

Edmundson and Wyllys (4) have suggested that frequency techniques should be based on the information-theoretic notion that the information value of a word is inversely proportional to the relative frequency of the word. This concept is diametrically opposed to Luhn's original suggestion, but it has a more appealing theoretical foundation. The implementation of this suggestion as it was postulated is relatively difficult because it requires complete statistical knowledge of all words in the language to be able to relate a word's relative frequency in general use to its relative frequency within a document.

Additional criteria, such as editorial clues, which can be used in automatic abstracting have not yielded consistently good results to warrant discussion here; for coverage of some aspects of these criteria see references 4, 9, and 11.

SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS FOR AUTOMATIC ABSTRACTING

For efficient processing, a language processing program should consider the largest independent item in its data base as its basic unit. Thus, in automatic abstracting the basic unit is an original article. Any approach which considers paragraphs or sentences as basic units is inadequate because there is interdependence between these moieties and the remainder of an article; a program which operates on interdependent units bears the burden of carrying information from one unit to the next.

Any automatic language processing program must have at its disposal the properties of the linguistic units, whether these be words, phrases, or sentences. Such requirements generally demend access to extensive dictionaries during processing; dictionary look-up tends to be a time-consuming operation which increases operating costs and running time significantly.

Some of the methods that can be used in automatic abstracting will be discussed in this section. Particular attention will be paid to the demands of each method on the system, and this information will be used in developing a general system design that complies with all the demands in an efficient way.

METHODS OF SENTENCE SELECTION. It is necessary to analyze the conditions under which the different methods of sentence selection are successful in order to develop criteria for selecting sentences to produce an abstract. Since an abstract can also be produced by re-jecting sentences which are irrelevant, methods for rejecting sen-

tences also deserve intensive analysis.

<u>THE TITLE METHOD</u>. The Title method has as a premise that the author describes in as few words as possible the essence of his paper; it can be assumed, then, that the words of the title are well chosen and consequently of high significance. With the use of a dictionary it is possible to eliminate most words and word combinations which serve as the grammatical framework for expressing the concept contained in the title (this aspect will be pursued further under the Cue method (p. 11)). Once the "framework" words are removed, the information-carrying words of the title have been isolated.

In the process of sentence selection, the words of each sentence should be matched against the information-carrying words of the title; if any words match, the sentence becomes a candidate for selection. The possibility should also be considered that the topic of the title (using words contained in the title) is likely to appear frequently, and if considerable reduction is desired additional criteria should be used before any sentence containing words co-occurring in the title is accepted for inclusion in the abstract.

THE LOCATION METHOD. The Location method is based on the physical arrangement of the linguistic elements of an article. This arrangement can be expressed in terms of two generalized descriptions: 1) the location of a sentence with respect to the limits of an article, and 2) the location of the phrases or words with respect to the limits of a sentence.

The location of a sentence with respect to the limits of an

article is governed by the style of the author or the editor; general writing guides provide advice about the placement of sentences within an article and suggest, for example, the use of introductory or summary sentences at the beginning and at the end of paragraphs. Of course, it is not possible to dictate in the matter of style and consequently the location of a sentence does not convey an unequivocal criterion for sentence selection or rejection.

The second description mentioned above is really a sentence description; the location of phrases and words within the sentence is subject to grammatical rules to which authors and editors adhere.

Even a partial syntactic analysis yields the basic sentence structure; this is possible because the basic sentence frameworks are limited in number. Punctuation clues are also melpful in determining sentence types. A question mark at the end of a sentence may indicate, for example, that the author is pondering about something, either because he does not know or as a may of introduction to his discussion. In any case, a question encountered in text (in organized text, that is) is likely to have been preceded by observations which precipitated the question and is likely to be followed by sentences which will try to explain the question raised by the author. The sentence that follows a question may well be something like:

"We will try to develop solutions to these questions in the following pages.".

<u>Contextual Inference</u>. It should be noticed that the analysis of question marks as discussed above is concerned with contextual infer-

ences rather than with syntactic structure. Contextual inference is the basic concept behind sentence selection or rejection; it is on the basis of an inference that sentences containing words co-occurring in the title are considered for selection; in the case of questions, inferences are necessary to decide whether any sentence is to be rejected or selected for inclusion in the abstract.

It is advisable to reject questions from an abstract for two main reasons: 1) a question never provides related facts, and 2) if a question is selected, then the context which makes the question sensible also needs to be selected to preserve the coherence of the produced abstract (context preservation will be discussed further under Intersentence References (p. 17)).

<u>Rejection Criteria</u>. Before considering other punctuation clues, it is necessary to develop the implications of the reasons mentioned above for rejecting a particular type of sentence. Information content, information dependencies, and economy of expression are all involved. With these considerations in mind it will be fruitful to decide what an abstract should contain and what it should not contain.

An abstract should contain facts, the purpose of the paper and the conclusion of the author; an abstract should not contain opinions, references to previous work, questions, subjective notions, equations, tables, figures, references to equations, to figures or to tables. Depending on the application of the abstract, it might also be possible to exclude experimental setup, measurements, examples, and textual

material which merely adds detail to the concept presented in the original paper. Specifying what an abstract should contain requires long lists of "desirable" concepts, whereas only a relatively short list of frequently occurring terms of low information value is needed to determine what should be omitted. Consequently, the process of rejecting sentences is much easier than the process of selecting sentences for an abstract.

<u>Punctuation</u>. The location of words or phrases with respect to the sentence provides a large amount of data for making contextual inferences. A "sentence" as discussed here refers to a string of words terminated by a period, question mark, or a somicolon. The question mark and the semicolon have a rather unequivocal use; the period, however, is used in abbreviations, ellipses and numbers as well as at the end of a sentence. These different usages need to be differentiated to delimit sentences properly.

Commas, like periods, can have several uses. Commas occur in numbers; they also separate items in series, parenthetical expressions, and clauses. Numerical and serial commas do not provide enough information by themselves to select or reject a sentence, but parenthetical commas generally indicate the use of synonyms, contrasts or comparisons, and dilatory or stylistic expressions.

While parenthetical expressions might not suffice to reject a sentence, the parenthetical expressions themselves can certainly be removed without affecting the total meaning of the sentence. Parenthetical expressions should definitely be removed when they are dil-

atory, stylistic, or when they contain a synonym, since in these cases nothing is lost. Removing parenthetical expressions which contrast or compare has the advantage of reducing context dependencies; the sentence:

"Our results, by contrast, show that X is blue." can be successfully changed to:

"Our results show that X is blue.". This second sentence conveys correct information regardless of what was said before, but this is not true of the first sentence. Thus, reduction of context dependencies produces more coherent abstracts.

Commas that separate clauses play a more vital role in sentence selection or rejection than other commas because they delimit the leading clause from clauses which qualify the leading clause. Keywords which indicate the relationship of the clauses are generally found adjacent to the commas that separate clauses; these keywords can be coordinating or subordinating conjunctions. Regardless of the type of keyword, second and subsequent clauses generally modify the first clause. The first clause, then is essential for the meaning of the sentence; if the first clause should be removed by the rejection criteria, the remainder of the sentence should also be dropped since it will not make sense otherwise. It is possible, on the other hand, to remove clauses other than the first and still obtain a sensible result; the sentence:

"The house was beautiful in the winter, but it was more com-

fortable in the summertime." is reasonable when rewritten as:

"The house was beautiful in the winter.".

Whether such transformations are used in the production of abstracts depends on the type of abstract desired; the loss of information produced by deletion needs to be evaluated against the brevity and the quality of the abstracts obtained.

Within clauses further reduction can take place by removing prepositional phrases; the loss of information resulting needs to be evaluated as above. Transforming the sentence:

"The house was beautiful in the winter."

to:

"The house was beautiful."

expresses the original idea but without all its qualifications.

THE CUE METHOD. It was mentioned earlier (p. 8) that opinions and subjective notions should not be contained in an abstract; with the application of those criteria it should be possible to reject the sentence:

"The house is boautiful."

on the grounds that "beautiful" is a subjective notion. Cue words, then, seem to have a more important role than location criteria in the rejection of sentences.

The Cue method provides a powerful approach to sentence selection or rejection. It was mentioned earlier that it was possible to decide what should be included in an abstract and what should be excluded; any words or combinations of words which are known to be used in stating the purpose of a paper, for example, should be coded to possess a positive weight. "Our work", "This paper", etc., are certainly expressions which meet these criteria, but so is "This theme paper". It is necessary, then, to permit partial matches to allow for varied input while maintaining a limited list of cue words and expressions. A partial match occurs when one or more words intervene between any two words of an expression.

Opinions, references to figures, and other items which should not be included in abstracts can be identified by cue words such as "obvious", "believe", "Fig.", "Figure 1", "Table IV", etc.

The weight of cue words can also depend on their position in a sentence; a sentence starting with "A" or "Some" is more likely to present detailed descriptions than a sentence which contains either of these words in a nore central location of the sentence, because these words appearing at the beginning of the sentence have a strong quantitative function.

Cue words may also identify parenthetical expressions, idiomatic expressions, and cliches; cue words may also carry syntactic roles in cases where there is no ambiguity. The combination of all these properties makes it easier to determine algorithmically whether a sentence or phrase should be removed or retained.

<u>Analysis of Sentence Weights</u>. After weights have been assigned to the words of a sentence with the help of programs and dictionaries, it is necessary to decide whether that sentence is to become part of the abstract. Three alternatives exist:

1) evaluate the weight of the sentence by adding the weights of

the positive and negative words,

2) impose a hierarchy in which the weights for the words should be considered, or

3) a combination of 1 and 2.

The third alternative gives the best results because it is the most flexible. The first has the disadvantage of being blind to the data; if the sentence should contain the expressions "our work" and "unimportant", the sentence weight might be neutral and a significant sentence might be missed. The second alternative is too rigid and it might cause a sentence to be selected on the basis of a particular word combination even though there might also be several words with negative weights. Combining the hierarchy and weight methods yields flexicle rules which form a hierarchy of qualified selection or rejection rules. An example of a rule in such a hierarchy might be: "If a cue word or expression of high value occurs in a sentence, select the sentence unless it also contains more than two low-value or more than four quantitative cue words.". This rule might very well precede a weaker selection rule or a rejection rule.

FREQUENCY CRITERIA (THE KEY METHOD). In the Introduction it was mentioned that the Key method of sentence selection, as suggested by Luhn, actually degraded the quality of the abstracts produced (2, 3). On the other hand, the technique suggested by Edmundson and Wyllis (4) of using information theory criteria would be difficult to implement because of the large volume of statistical information required.

Information theory criteria can be easily introduced into a

framework for abstracting like the one we have been discussing with successful results. It is necessary, however, to impose some limitations on how and at what point in the process of sentence selection or rejection the statistical criteria are applied. To illustrate this point let us consider three sentences:

- 1) "The detrimental nature of albinism has been well established."
- "Albinism occurs at different frequencies in human populations."
- 3) "This paper discusses albinism caused by recessive autosomal genetic traits."

Sentence 1 is a likely candidate for rejection on the basis of the cue expression "well established"; sentence 2 does not have any cue words or expressions for selecting it or for rejecting it. Sentence 3 contains the cue expression "this paper" which makes it a candidate for selection. On the basis of cue words alone sentences 2 and 3 would be selected. Sentence 3 is chosen because of its positive value and 2 because there is no basis for rejecting it.

The expression "well established", which implies popular knowledge, makes sentence 1 a candidate for rejection regardless of the frequency with which the word "albinism" occurs in the paper being abstracted. The same is not true for sentence 2; if the word "albinism" is a high-frequency word, it may be possible to reject sentence 2 on frequency considerations. This approach still requires the word "albinism" to exist in a dictionary that specifies its frequency of occurrence in natural language in order to have a basis for comparison. These considerations make it evident that cue words necessarily override frequency criteria, but it is also possible, in circumstances where the cue words have less than perfect reliability (e.g. homonymy), for frequency criteria to modify the weight of the cue words.

In the discussion of cue words the necessity of allowing partial matches was mentioned; this introduces an amount of uncertainty into the selection or rejection of sentences which can be reduced by introducing frequency considerations. The cue expression "this paper" would match against "this filter paper", "this wrapping paper" and other expressions which have nothing to say about the contents of the document being abstracted. Frequency criteria can be introduced as follows: if any cue expression exceeds a given frequency threshold, then its value should be reduced. This means that if the cue expression has a positive weight it should become less positive, and it it has a negative weight it should become less negative. With these guidelines it should be possible to produce abstracts of papers in which due words are used in unusual ways. The thresholds at which the weight transitions should take place need to be determined, but statistical data is needed only for the cue expressions contained in the dictionary, rather than for the whole language.

Certain cues of negative value can not be handled as stated above. References to figures and graphs are examples of this. A reference to a figure is meaningless when there are no figures in the abstract.

In the case where frequency criteria indicate a large number of references to items which are not to be carried in an abstract, such as graphic material, the abstracting algorithms should remove such references and include concluding sentences such as: "Graphic material is presented."

EDITORIAL CLUES. Editorial criteria such as italics, capitalization, and section headings provide useful clues: Capital letters indicate proper names, the beginning of sentences, and acronyms; section headings indicate the topic of the next paragraphs; italics are used for foreign language quotations, names of bacteria, etc. The use of italics is not as standardized as us the use of capital letters; thus, italics are less useful to an algorithm than the clues provided by capital letters.

If editorial clues are to be used in abstracting, these clues must be incorporated into the machine representation of the data; unfortunately, if the data representation differs, the machine will consider capitalized words different from the same words in lower case letters. The solution to this problem is to include such features separated from the data and have the data in a common representation, all lower case, for example. Every word or expression would then have a series of properties which describe it; typical properties would be:

1) location of the word within the machine,

2) length of the word,

3) type of print and capitalization attributes of the word,

4) syntactic role of the word,

5) weight of the word with respect to abstracting,

6) context dependency properties,

7) alphabetic rank in the document, and

8) relative frequency of the word in the document.

INTERSENTENCE REFERENCES. Intersentence references give much information about the logical relationships within the text material, but they require involved treatment if a coherent abstract is to be produced. In an earlier discussion (p. 10) it was pointed out that if more than one clause exists in a sentence then the first clause is indispensable to the meaning of the sentence, i.e., it carries more information than subsequent clauses. Generally the first clause will also contain intersentence references if there are any. If there are words which require antecedents in the second and subsequent chauses they generally refer to the first clause. Some cue words that indicate intersentence references are: "these", "they", "it", and "above". When these words have multiple uses, additional criteria are required for determining if there is intersentence reference. The expression "It is known that ... " does not refer to a previous sentence, but "It was spinning slowly" refers to a previously named object. Noticing patterns in the use of words such as "it" makes possible the use of these words to detect intersentence references. The following rule, for example, contends with many exceptions in the use of the word "it": "It" in the first or only clause indicates intersentence reference unless it is follwed closely by "that".

A word like "above" confronts us with a different challenge---one

of enumeration.

"The use of water was mentioned above."

and

"Water evaporates rapidly above 80 degrees centigrade." are two sentences which make use of different meanings of the word "above". By enumerating those cases that we are interested in, we can determine intersentence references. Thus, the cue expressions "presented above", "mentioned above", and "stated above" would detect intersentence references.

We conclude, then, that cue words to determine intersentence references can be made to represent rules ("it ... that", for example), or they can enumerate the items of interest.

There are intersentence references that do not make use of any cue words; instead, they use the name of the antecedent rather than a pronoun. Consider the sentences:

"Substance X and substance Y form solutions in ammonia.

The solutions are blue."

Intersentence references such as these can be detected in a manner similar to the Title method of sentence selection. If any non-function words co-occur in adjacent sentences they are likely to be closely related.

The processes of sentence selection and rejection must take into consideration the coherence of the abstract. Suppose that a sentence that requires an antecedent is to be included in an abstract; it is necessary to check if the previous sentence has been removed and to reinstate it if necessary. If the restored sentence also requires an antecedent the procedure must be repeated. It can be decided that if many sentences would be reinstated because of the required antecedents of one sentence, then it is not worthwhile keeping that one sentence.

It is important also to consider semantic interrelationships when there are intersentence references. Suppose that a sentence that requires an antecedent does not have any positive or any negative weights. We can decide that if the previous sentence was deleted because of a negative weight then this sentence should also be removed, but if the previous sentence was selected then the sentence under consideration should also be selected. When a sentence that requires an antecedent has a negative weight it can be removed without affecting the coherence of the abstract.

EXTENSION OF AUTOMATIC ABSTRACTING METHODS TO OTHER LANGUAGES. As indicated on page 16, all the material which needs to be used for preparing an abstract can be contained in the properties which describe the words. A program which produces abstracts by referring to the properties of the words rather than the words themselves, produces the same results whether the words are French, Spanish, or English. Differences in processing the different languages would be required only when the grammar of the languages is markedly different; English, Spanish, and other romance languages have prepositional structure; they also have similar punctuation conventions, etc. Differences such as location of adjectives with respect to the

19.

nouns is not important since these features are not used in sentence selection; they may become important if prepositional phrases are to be removed, though. The cue word dictionary can be the source of syntactic, semantic, and frequency information. Thus, just a single pass against the dictionary is needed to supply the data-dependent information. An internal sorting program can be used to supply alphabetic rank keys to be used for matching against the dictionary, and syntactic programs can supply information on the type of periods, commas, and phrases encountered in the document. It can be seen, then, that the syntactic programs complement the information supplied by the dictionary. Once all this data has been amassed, phrase and sentence selection can proceed without referring to the text again except for printing the selected material.

LIMITATIONS OF AUTOMATIC ABSTRACTING. Sentence and vocabulary analysis are two problems encountered in automatic abstracting for which many solutions have been formulated by workers in computational linguistics. Many of these solutions are not particularly suited to automatic abstracting, but the knowledge is already available; all that is needed is to adapt that knowledge to a particular application. Thus, sentence and vocabulary analysis are not permanent limitations in automatic abstracting.

The organization and style of an article, as well as its content, impose limitations for which suitable solutions are not yet available. The organization of an original article is important because the sentences selected for the abstract are generally placed in the order in

which they occur in the original. This need not be so; earlier, we considered introducing sentences which represent particular characteristics of an original such as "Graphic material is presented.". Similarly, elimination or proper manipulation of intersentence references might yield coherent abstracts. Actual reorganization of the sentences selected for the abstract might prove beneficial only occasionally; machine implementation of text reorganization may be quite difficult and perhaps impractical because of its limited application.

Technical reports are principally expository in style; automatic abstracting is generally directed to process this style. Other styles present problems which are not so readily tractable. It is not expected that dialogues, poetry, or literary works would need to be abstracted since they are more suited for critical or interpretative reviews; they are clearly outside the domain of automatic abstracting.

Articles with imbedded languages such as mathematics or foreign languages are hard to deal with. Such articles can not be abstracted readily without a knowledge of the semantics of the languages involved and without a way to distinguish them. If a mathematical formula, for example, contained the letter "A" as a variable symbol, the abstracting program would need to distinguish it from the article "A" to be able to properly define intersentence references and syntactic structures. Furthermore, the program would have to have sufficient information to decide whether an equation should be selected for the abstract or not. It is not clear at this point that any of the se-

lection clues mentioned above would be suitable for such an undertaking, but certainly much more information will be needed in the selection process. Editorial clues are likely to be of great help in this area.

PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

Automatic language processing involves manipulation of variable length strings (words) which possess syntactic and semantic attributes. An automatic language processing system must solve the problems of organizing the data and defining the program interaction. The organization of the data should be such that the strings of the language and their attributes are made readily available to the programs, and it should be flexible enough to permit reorganization of the data. The program interaction should be minimized by restricting communication through a common interface; this allows programs to be changed or improved independently of the rest of the system.

Automatic abstracting has data manipulation requirements which allow the use of a specialized data organization with great effectiveness. This section discusses the data organization requirements and the program interactions of automatic abstracting.

The ideas presented here have been implemented on the IBM 1620 and IBM 360 computers.

DATA ORGANIZATION. In automatic abstracting it is necessary to be able to identify words and expressions and to attach to them attributes derived by programs or obtained from dictionaries. The way in which the data is to be manipulated is a very important con- ' sideration in defining the data organization. Some of the manipulations include matching words against dictionaries to identify multi-word expressions, matching words against other words in the article, deletion of words, and scanning for word attributes to the right or to

the left of any given word.

Two data structures satisfy these requirements: *lists* and *tables*. <u>LISTS</u>. A simple list consists of a pointer to the data and a pointer to the next element in the list. It is easy to add or to delete elements by manipulating the pointers of the list, but they have some notable disadvantages. With a simple list it is not possible to scan backward, since the pointers point to the next item only. A symmetric list, on the other hand, has a pointer to the data, a pointer to the preceding element and a third pointer to the next element in the list; this type of list can be scanned backward.

A member of a list can be accessed only by starting at the first member of the list (or also at the last member of a symmetric list) and following the pointers until the member is found; for this reason strategies to reduce search time can not be employed.

Lists require a large amount of storage. A simple list requires two pointers for every word of data, and a symmetric list requires three pointers; these pointers and the programs to manipulate them can require up to three times the amount of storage required by the data.

TABLES. Tables consist of a set of arguments each of which is associated with a unique key. The key may be explicit or implied. Information is retrieved from a table by locating the desired key and obtaining the arguments corresponding to the key; the arguments may be updated in a similar manner.

Tables can store information in a form well suited for retrieval,

although their structure tends to be rigid. Ordered tables consisting of entries that are all equal in length can be scanned forward or backward and techniques such as binary searches and address calculation, as well as sequential searches, can be performed to minimize search time. Ordered tables have the disadvantage that additions require moving many entries to make room for the new entry. Another way of adding an entry to an ordered table is to tag the entry which would precede it and add a pointer to the new entry. This solution has many of the disadvantages of lists. In unordered tables new entries can be added at any available location, but only sequential searching or hashing techniques can be used for retrieving information.

Tables of fixed length entries are generally represented by vectors within a computer. A vector is a set of elements which are physically adjacent in the computer memory. A vector is defined by its base address, element size, and its length.

Data Structure for Automatic Abstracting. The data structure for the implementation described herein incorporates some features of lists (pointers to the data) and some features of tables (storage of word attributes). Three constituents comprise the data structure; they are:

1) A workarea, where the text is stored throughout processing.

2) An *attribute vector*, which contains pointers to each word of the text, attributes such as length, and semantic and syntactic attributes for the corresponding words. Textual properties such as capitalization could also be incorporated

- in the attribute vector. The *n*th element of the attribute vector corresponds to the *n*th word of the text in the work-area.
- 3) An alphabetic vector, which defines the alphabetic rank of the words of the text. The nth element of the alphabetic vector contains the number of the attribute vector element which corresponds to the nth word in alphabetic sequence. The alphabetic vector permits matching against a dictionary without reorganizing the data.

The attribute and alphabetic vectors are combined to form a table; it is possible to re-use the space of the alphabetic vector after all alphabetic processing has taken place, hence combining the vectors results in space savings. Figure 1 shows the constituents of the data structure. Notice, for instance, that the first element of the alphabetic vector in Figure 1 points to the sixth element of the attribute vector. The sixth attribute vector element, in turn, corresponds to the first word in alphabetic sequence.

Logical Operations Possible. The workarea and table structure described above have properties which are suitable for automatic abstracting and for text searching. Matching words against a dictionary in alphabetic sequence can be done by referring to the alphabetic vector; the arguments of a match can be stored in the attribute vector. Information may also be entered into the attribute vector at the time at which the vector is constructed to identify punctuation, textual, and editorial properties of the data. The table can be scanned backward

. 26

CONTENTS OF WORKAREA

MACHINE ADDRESS:	0	4 1	10 '	14 '	18	23 1	31 '	39 '	46 '
TEXT:	The	rocks	did	not	have	sharply	angular	corners	

TABLE CORRESPONDING TO THE WORKAREA

Atti	ribute vec	Alphabetic vector	
word length	word address	attributes	
3	0		ϵ
5	4		7
3	10		2
3	14		4
4	18		3
7	23		1
- 7	31		5
7	39		0
1	46	•	8
	Attr word length 3 5 3 3 4 7 7 7 7 1	Attribute vec word length word address 3 0 5 4 3 10 3 14 4 18 7 23 7 31 7 39 1 46	Attribute vectorword lengthword addressattributes3054310314418723731739146

DATA STRUCTURE FOR AUTOMATIC ABSTRACTING

FIGURE 1

or forward to facilitate processing.

Deletion of words can be effected by introducing "deletion" attributes to permit temporary or permanent deletion of words. Deleted entries are ignored by all the programs of the system. It is desirable to have both permanent and temporary deletion attributes to simplify some of the processing programs.

Substitution of words simply requires updating the length and address in the appropriate table entry; the words themselves are not moved.

Insertions can not be conveniently made in the table structure; it is possible, however, to substitute a multi word expression for a single word to achieve the effect of an insertion. When this is done, the set of attributes in the table entry applies to the group of words, rather than to the words individually. However, the difficulty of inserting words presents no problem in automatic abstracting since this procedure is not likely to be used to any great extent.

The table provides fast access to the data in the workarea; this is a useful property not only for automatic abstracting, but also for text searching. For text searching, it is better to have the displacements of words from the beginning of the workarea in the table, rather than their machine addresses; this permits relocation of the data in the machine memory. If it is necessary to find a particular word in the workarea, a binary search or an address calculation procedure may be used to obtain this information. In either case, an element of the alphabetic vector must be located to obtain the address portion from the corresponding attribute vector element. On the basis of the comparison of the words it can be determined whether it is necessary to advance or to go back along the alphabetic vector.

In summary, the data is stored in the workarea in its original order and is made directly accessible by means of the table. This data structure serves as the interface through which all of the programs of the system interact.

<u>PROGRAM INTERACTION</u>. In a system with many programs, all of which operate on the same data, it is desirable to coordinate the program interaction through a common interface to allow individual programs to be modified or improved without affecting the whole system. The data structure for automatic abstracting described above contains all the information that any program of the system requires. Each program operates by modifying the data structure or by referring to the table. Every program, then, has access to the result of all programs which were executed before it; this allows any program to override or modify the results of previous programs. The only parameters which a program needs to perform its particular function are:

1) The address of the workarea,

2) The address of the table, and

3) The number of entries in the table.

The programs described in this section make use of subroutines which locate information or modify attributes in the table. The parameters of the subroutines generally are two table addresses plus information which depends on the function of the subroutine. The two table addresses delimit the portion of the data structure on which the subroutine is to operate.

SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION. The automatic abstracting system was originally programmed for an IBM 1620 computer (model I) with a capacity of 20,000 storage positions. The peripheral devices used were a card reader/card punch, and the console typewriter. The programs were written in IBM 1620 Symbolic Programming System (5); they required an overlay structure in this machine. The programs were subsequently converted for an IBM 360 model 75. The conversion required changes in the storage allocation for the data structure to make efficient use of the binary data representation of the IBM 360. A table entry of the data structure can be stored in 8 bytes in the IBM 360; an equivalent table entry requires 20 storage positions in the IBM 1620. The programs for the IBM 360 were written in assembler language (6, 7). Although the IBM 360 has a more powerful instruction set than the IBM 1620, the total number of instructions of the programs was not reduced because the IBM 360 does not use indirect addressing.

The programs written for the IBM 360 eliminated deficiencies which existed in some of the programs for the IBM 1620. Also, the new programs take advantage of the data management functions of the operating system.

Figure 2 illustrates the program interaction; the functions of the programs and subroutines are outlined below (the logic of these programs is explained under ALGORITHMS, p. 34):


Program name

Description

CARDDISK Creates a dictionary from a card file. MAIN Reads an original article and constructs a table entry for every word and punctuation mark. Punctuation attributes are stored in the table at the time it is constructed. The program MAIN also coordinates the execution of all other programs.

SORT Sorts the words of the article using the members of the alphabetic vector as keys. Table entries with punctuation attributes are ignored during the sorting procedure.

FREQ Lists the words of the original article and their number of occurrences; the printed output is used to manually study the statistical properties of the data. This program is not used in producing abstracts.
WORDCIRL Enters the information contained in the dictionary created by CARDDISK into the attribute vector of matching entries. Multi-word entries are matched before single word entries. Some attributes are altered depending on the number of occurrences of the dictionary entry in the original article (Frequency Criteria, p. 39).

SEMANTIC Incorporates all the rules for producing an abstract from the original.

PRINTI Prints the original or the abstract.

INDEX Creates an articulated index of the original article or of the abstract.

Subroutine name Description

CHECKROL Scans forward (left to right) along a section of the table to locate the autributes specified; it locates the first table entry which contains the desired attributes.

CHKROLEF Has the same functions as CHECKROL, but the scan proceeds backward.

DELETE Places deletion attributes in entries of the table delimited by two addresses.

RESTORE Nullifies deletion attributes in a section of the table delimited by two addresses.

CHCOMA Classifies the non-numeric commas of a sentence as parenthetical, serial, or clause commas.

PERIOD Identifies sentences. It scans the table until it locates a punctuation attribute of *semicolon*, or an attribute of *period* which is not part of an abbreviation. This subroutine also indicates when the complete article has been scanned.

RELEVANT Determines relevance of sentences to the title and intersentence references where cue words are not used. This subroutine compares the words corresponding to table entries which have no attributes; an attribute indicating relevance is inserted in the table entries of words that match.

<u>ALGORITHMS</u>. This section explains the logic of the programs that comprise the heart of the automatic abstracting system. CHCOMA is the only subroutine that will be discussed here because the other subroutines have very simple functions. The programs of the present system can be improved by modifying the logic or altering their design; appropriate modifications will be discussed with each particular program. The program that produces the articulated index has been described by Salvador (9) and will not be discussed here. (Only the programs for the IBM 360 will be discussed because they are more general than the programs for the IBM 1620.)

<u>CARDDISK</u>. The CARDDISK program creates a dictionary on a direct access device from a card file. It is necessary to read the dictionary once for each original article to be abstracted, however, a dictionary of small cize could be stored in the machine memory, thus reducing processing time.

The dictionary presently contains about 700 entries averaging approximately 10 characters per entry (see Appendix). This dictionary has allowed production of abstracts which were 10 to 20 percent the size of the original article. Because of the significant reductions achieved with this dictionary, it is anticipated that it will not increase markedly in size; thus, it would be possible to store the dictionary in the machine memory and a considerable gain in speed would result.

MAIN. This program reads the original article from punched cards into a workarea. All characters of the IBM 029 keypunch are accepted (Figure 3). The first non-abbreviation period followed by a blank indicates the end of the title. If there is a bibliographic reference, it is enclosed in pound signs at input and immediately follows the title. Bibliographic information, if present, is disregarded in abstracting but is retained for the abstract. An options card, identified by dollar signs in the first two columns, indicates the end of the article. This card also contains asterisks which indicate the output options desired for the article. The options presently available are:

- 1) Print the words in the document and their frequencies.
- 2) Print the original document.
- 3) Print an index of the original.
- 4) Print the abstract.
- 5) Print an index of the abstract.

The MAIN program creates the data structure for the abstracting algorithm. MAIN creates a table entry for every alphanumeric string delimited by blanks or by special characters; a table entry is also made for every special character with the following exceptions:

A hyphen is considered a special character only when it is preceded and followed by alphabetic characters or blanks. This allows generation of a single table entry for strings such as <u>-175</u> and <u>1-bromo-2-naphthol</u>. A string such as <u>multi-valued</u>, however, generates three table entries. Alphabetic characters

Numeric characters

0--9

A-Z

Blank

Special characters

¢	٠	(+	č	!	\$	*)	
;	-	/	,	%		?	:	#	
@	t	=	11	<		>	1		

IBM 029 KEYPUNCH CHARACTER SET

FIGURE 3

Commas, periods, right parentheses, and the right caret ("greater than" sign) are required to have a blank to their right to be considered special characters. The left parenthesis and the left caret ("less than" sign) are required to have a blank to their left. Numeric commas and periods are not followed by blanks, hence are considered part of the numeric character string. The restriction imposed on the parentheses and carets applies to some technical writing styles.

MAIN stores the following information in the table:

- 1) Length and address of the word or special character.
- 2) Semantic and syntactic attributes of special characters (defined as the binary value of the character).
- 3) A sequential number in the alphabetic vector element

(starting from zero for the first entry in the table).

The table entries constructed by MAIN occupy 8 bytes. Each table entry can contain the information listed below.

Byte of	Contents
Table entry	
1	Length of the word or special character
2 to 4	Address of the word or of the special character
5	Semantic attribute
6	Syntactic attribute
7 to 8	An alphabetic vector element is stored here during alphabetic processing. After all alphabetic processing is completed, the deletion attributes are stored here.

If the MAIN program were expanded to accept upper and lower case characters, it would be necessary to store data in a common storage mode (e.g., lower case), and include capitalization attributes in appropriate Table entries.

Once the program MAIN has created the Table, it coordinates the execution of other programs according to the options specified in the options card.

<u>SORT</u>. The sorting method used for the IBM 360 is an improved linear selection with exchange key sort method. The method takes advantage of the fact that all words are at least one character long, and that in a large number of the comparisons it is possible to determine the sorting sequence by comparing first characters. Thus, only when the first characters are equal is it necessary to obtain the lengths to make a complete comparison.

The keys used by this program are the alphabetic vector elements of the Table. Entries with special character attributes are ignored during sorting. The alphabetic vector after sorting is illustrated in Figure 1; notice that the *n*th alphabetic vector element contains the number of the table entry which corresponds to the *n*th word in alphabetic rank.

WORDCIRL. The WORDCIRL program reads the dictionary and assigns the attributes found there to the terms of the article that match those of the dictionary. Frequency criteria alter the attributes stored in the Table, and a hierarchy is used for assigning the attributes to multi-word terms that have words in common. In order to

: 38

apply frequency criteria and to match against multiple-word entries it is necessary during processing to go back to alphabetic entries that have already been passed; the Table structure makes this a relatively easy task.

The dictionary read by WORDCTRL is in alphabetic sequence by the first or only word of the term; multi-word terms, however, precede single word terms.

<u>Frequency criteria</u>. Frequency criteria are presently applied by the WORDCTRL program in a very rigid manner; specific frequency thresholds and specific attribute conversions are used for each term depending on the attributes of the dictionary entry. It would, however, be possible to specify the frequency threshold and the alternative attributes to be used for each dictionary entry.

When a dictionary match is found, a dummy attribute is inserted into the Table. The dictionary entry continues to be compared against the words of the article (by using the alphabetic vector) until the words of the article are higher in sequence than the dictionary entry, or the end of the article is reached. At this point the number of matches is used to compute whether the attributes of the dictionary entry are to be modified. If the number of words in the article is under 500, the actual number of matches is used in the computation; if the number of words in the article exceeds 500 then the number of matches per thousand words of the article is used.

Words with positive attributes are given less positive values if the number of matches per thousand exceeds four; words with negative

attributes are made less negative if the number of matches per thousand exceeds seven. This evaluation scheme favors the decrease of positive values, and thus, it leans in the direction of smaller abstracts. When the new attributes are determined, they replace the dummy attributes stored in the Table earlier.

Multi-word entries. Matching against multi-word entries proceeds as follows: When the first word of a dictionary entry matches a word in the article, a pointer (KK1) is loaded with the address of the current alphabetic vector element. The word that follows the matching word is then compared against the second word of the dictionary entry; if the words are equal the process is repeated until all the words of the dictionary term have been compared. It should be noticed that the alphabetic vector element is used in locating the first word only; subsequent comparisons refer to adjacent Table entries. When all the words of multi-word terms match, the Table entry of the first word carries the attributes found in the dictionary (unless modified by frequency criteria) and the succeeding Table entries are assigned continuation attributes. Partial matches are allowed by permitting up to three table entries, none of which have punctuation attributes, to intervene between words of multi-word dictionary entries. For example, the dictionary entry THIS PAPER would match the words THIS THEME PAPER as well as the unqualified expression.

Dictionary entries are compared against the words of the article by using the alphabetic vector until the words of the article are higher in sequence or exhausted; after the dictionary entry is processed,

the next dictionary entry is read. The first word of the new dictionary entry may be equal to the first word of the previous dictionary entry; this makes it necessary to start comparing against the article at the point indicated by the pointer KK1.

<u>Hierarchy of attributes</u>. It is necessary to have a hierarchy for introducing attributes into the table when multi-word terms overlap. If the first words of the dictionary terms are identical, the hierarchy is built into the dictionary by ordering the terms according to the number of words they contain, the terms with most words coming first. Ordering the dictionary in this way allows the longest and most restrictive terms to match first.

When the overlapping words are not the first words of the term, the program must impose rules of precedence. Syntactic attributes, for instance, are overlaid by the attributes of succeeding terms so that the last term that matches dictates the syntactic attributes. Semantic attributes, by contrast, do not override previously assigned semantic attributes, although they will overlay continuation attributes.

<u>CHCOMA</u>. CHCOMA is used by the SEMANTIC program to permit processing of the clauses of a sentence. This subroutine classifies the commas of a sentence into categories which are recognized by the SEMANTIC program. The output of the CHCOMA subroutine is aimed at the specific requirements of the SEMANTIC program. The SEMANTIC program is concerned with three types of commas: serial, parenthetical, and clause commas. Serial commas are generally skipped, parenthetical commas delimit portions of a sentence which are to be deleted, and

clause commas identify the smallest coherent units of a sentence.

The algorithm used by CHCOMA is not fool-proof, but it contends with a large number of cases. The IBM 1620 algorithm processed one comma at a time; the algorithm used in the IBM 360 examines a complete sentence. The latter approach makes all the relevant information available to the algorithm and better results are obtained.

CHCOMA examines the syntactic and semantic attributes in the table and returns to the semantic program a list of pointers; each pointer identifies the table location of a comma and its type. If there are no commas, the list is empty. The following criteria are used by the subroutine CHCOMA:

- If the comma is immediately followed by a conjunction and the previous comma (if any) was serial then this comma is serial.
- 2) If the comma is immediately preceded by a semantic attribute for parenthetical expressions, the comma is parenthetical; the previous comma (if any) is categorized as serial regardless of its previous categorization.
- If the comma is followed by a pronoun, the comma is a clause comma.
- 4) If the comma is followed by "TO" or a verb, the comma is parenthetical and the previous comma is categorized as serial; however, if the comma is the first comma of the sentence, it is considered serial.

5) If none of the above conditions have been met, six table

entries following the present comma, or as many table entries as remain in the sentence are examined for the attributes *conjunction, comma, verb,* and *preposition* (excluding "OF"). The relative positions of these attributes determine the type of the comma.

- 5a) If none of the above attributes is found in the table entries following the comma, the comma is a clause comma.
- 5b) If a comma or conjunction is found, but no verb or preposition, the comma is serial.
- 5c) If a verb or preposition is found, but no comma or conjunction, the comma is a clause comma.
- 5d) If either a preposition or verb occurs before a conjunction or comma in the section of the table examined, the comma is a clause comma.
- 5e) If either a conjunction or comma occurs before a preposition or a verb, the comma is serial.

SEMANTIC. In the early stages of the design of these programs it was realized that a computer program provided a very rigid framework for implementing the sentence selection rules of automatic abstracting. This prompted us to separate, as much as possible, the sentence selection procedure from the words of the language. Thus, the dictionary was designed to contain the semantic and the syntactic attributes of the words, and the abstracting program was designed to operate solely on the attributes of the words. Of course, since such attributes can not be unambiguously assigned by a simple matching procedure, programs such as CHCOMA and WORDCTRL supplement the information obtained from the dictionary. Separating the abstracting algorithm from the words of the language has two principal advantages:

- 1) The algorithm may be applied to more than one natural language by using different dictionaries.
- 2) Abstracts with different orientations can be produced by manipulating the attributes of the dictionary terms.

The second point makes it very easy to study the impact of different classification schemes for terms, without having to resort to program modifications. If the abstracting program is flexible enough to allow a large number of logical operations, the main problem in the production of abstracts becomes the classification of the dictionary terms.

The abstracting program described here consists of a hierarchy of alternating positive and negative selection rules. Each selection rule may reference one or more semantic or syntactic attributes and may affect whole sentences or parts of sentences. Alternation of selection and rejection criteria allows the first rule which applies to any sentence or part of a sentence to determine whether the item under consideration is to be removed or retained.

The Dictionary. Dictionary terms contain two attributes: 1) a syntactic attribute, and 2) a semantic attribute.

The syntactic attributes have the following codes and meanings:

Syntactic code	Meaning
А	Article
С	Conjunction
D	Deleted word
F	Null word
J	Continuation of a previous syntactic attribute
N	Pronoun
Р	Preposition
0	Exclusively assigned to "OF"
Q	Exclusively assigned to "TO"
R ·	Exclusively assigned to "AS"
S	Subject heading (used by the INDEX program)
V	Verb
W	Auxiliary verb
X	Exclusively assigned to "IS", "ARE", "WAS", and "WERE"
Z	Negative

Notice that some syntactic attributes are assigned to specific words or groups of words which play special grammatical roles; this allows proper operation of the programs that handle syntactic information. The semantic attributes have the following codes and meanings:

Semantic code	Meaning
A	Assigned to very negative terms; those which do not belong in an abstract (e.g., obvious, interesting)
В	Parenthetical expressions, terms of low information content, or terms which are associated with items of low information content (e.g., however)
C	Used for words which require an antecedent (e.g., this, these)
D	Deleted word (e.g., very)
E	Used for quantifiers (e.g., many, more)
F	Null (assigned to abbreviations)
G	Assigned by the program to indicate relevance between sentences or relevance to the title.
H	Terms which introduce modifying phrases (e.g., whose)
I	Used for very positive terms; those which almost unequivocally are related to something of importance (e.g., our work)
J	Continuation of a previous semantic code
К	Assigned to terms which are related to items of high information content (e.g., important)
L	Introductory qualifiers (e.g., once, a)
The Abstracting Algorith	m The obstracting algorithm is measure

The Abstracting Algorithm. The abstracting algorithm is presented as a series of rules written in the form of PL/1-like statements when made necessary by the complexity of the logic. If a rule does not apply, the next rule in the hierarchy is applied. It should be kept in mind that when the SEMANTIC program is executed, the WORDCTRL program has already assigned attributes to terms which match against the dictionary.

The first three rules are applied only when beginning the processing of the article.

<u>RULE 1</u>: Scan to the first non-abtreviation period; assume this to be the end of the title.

RULE 2: The bibliographic reference, if any, is enclosed within pound signs and immediately follows the title; it is skipped if it is present.

<u>RULE 3</u>: The title is matched against the complete article using the RELEVANT subroutine. This introduces the semantic attribute \underline{G} into table entries of words which have no attributes and which match a word of the title.

All the following rules are applied to every sentence of the article (a sentence is obtained by using the PERIOD subroutine). <u>RULE 4</u>: The semantic attribute <u>I</u> indicates importance. A sentence which contains an <u>I</u> attribute is retained if it meets coherence criteria. The rule is the following:

if (non-negative I in sentence) then¹

remove parenthetical expressions;

/* the coherence criteria comprise the next set of if
 statements */

if (C in first clause)

then²

/* important sentence that requires an antecedent and the previous sentence is gone */

restore up to 3 sentences;

if (verb in sentence) then

sentence stays;

else

delete sentence and those restored;

/* important sentence that requires an antecedent and the previous sentence stayed */

if (verb in sentence) then

sectence stays;

else

delete sentence;

/* important sentence that does not require an antecedent */

if (verb in sentence) then

sentence stays;

else

delete sentence;

Notes:

a) The superscripts identify corresponding alternatives.

b) A "non-negative \underline{I} " is defined as a table entry which contains a semantic attribute of I and is preceded by two table entries neither

 \underline{then}^3

 ${\tt else}^3$

else²

else¹:

of which has a syntactic attribute of \underline{Z} . Thus, the expression "presented here" is non-negative, whereas "not presented here" is negative.

c) A "parenthetical expression" consists of the table entries delimited by paired table entries with punctuation attributes of left parenthesis and right parenthesis. A "parenthetical expression" also consists of the table entries between a serial comma or the beginning of the sentence and a parenthetical comma as determined by the subroutine CHCOMA. CHCOMA also identifies the first clause, if there is more than one.

d) If a sentence requires an antecedent, the preceding sentence is restituted if it had been previously deleted; this procedure is repeated until a sentence which does not require an antecedent ic found. If more than three sentences need to be restituted, the present sentence is deleted and no restitution takes place. This procedure is never applied to the first sentence of the article.

e) A verb is considered to be in a sentence if the syntactic attributes V, W, or X can be found in the sentence.

<u>RULE 5</u>: The semantic attribute <u>A</u> identifies items which are undesirable in an abstract; if an <u>A</u> occurs in a sentence the sentence will be removed, and previous sentences may also be removed if they are "weak" sentences.

if (non-negative A in sentence)

then¹

remove parenthetical expressions;

if (C in first clause)

 \underline{then}^2

if (previous sentence gone)

/* undesirable sentence that requires an antecedent and the previous sentence is gone */

delete sentence;

 $else^3$

 \underline{then}^3

/* undesirable sentence that requires an antecedent and the previous sentence stayed */

if (previous sentence was weak) then

delete this sentence and previous sentence;

else

else²

else¹:

delete this sentence;

/* undesirable sentence that does not require an antecedent */

delete sentence;

Notes:

a) A sentence is considered "weak" in this rule if it does not have a semantic attribute of \underline{I} or \underline{K} .

<u>RULE 6</u>: Sentences containing question marks and equal signs are deleted. This prevents equations and questions from appearing in the abstract.

<u>RULE 7</u>: The semantic attribute \underline{K} indicates importance, but not as strongly as the attribute \underline{I} .

 \underline{if} (non-negative K in sentence) \underline{then}^1

<u>if</u> (3 or more B's in sentence) <u>then</u> delete sentence; <u>else</u>;

/* moderately important sentence */

remove parenthetical expressions; apply coherence criteria as in RULE 4;

<u>RULE 8</u>. If there is no <u>I</u>, <u>A</u>, <u>K</u>, question mark, or equal sign in the sentence, remove parenthetical expressions.

<u>RULE 9</u>: If there is a semantic attribute of <u>B</u> in the first clause, the sentence is deleted. Since this rule applies after parenthetical expressions have been logically deleted, only the parts of the first clause that remain are examined.

<u>RULE 10</u>: The semantic attributes \underline{E} and \underline{L} indicate quantitative or qualitative data; if these attributes occur early in the first clause, they may indicate detailed information not suited for the abstract.

/* the coherence criteria requiring a strong antecedent
 comprise the next set of if statements */

if (C in first clause) if (previous sentence gone) $\frac{\text{then}^2}{\text{then}^3}$

/* detailed information that requires an antecedent and the previous sentence is gone */

delete sentence;

/* detailed information that requires an antecedent
 and the previous sentence stayed */

<u>if</u> (previous sentence was strong)

then

else³

elsel

if (verb in sentence) then

sentence stays;

else

else⁴

else²

else¹;

delete sentence;

delete sentence;

Notes:

a) A sentence is "strong" if it has a table entry with a semantic attribute of I.

<u>RULE 11</u>: The semantic attribute \underline{G} indicates relevance to the title. If there is a \underline{G} in the sentence, coherence criteria are applied as in RULE 4.

<u>RULE 12</u>: Quantitative information, i.e., the semantic attribute <u>E</u>, occurring after the first two words in the clause is subject to the coherence criteria requiring a strong antecedent as used in RULE 10. Notice that if the attribute <u>E</u> occurs in the first two words of the first clause (RULE 10) it overrides relevance to the title, whereas if it occurs later in the first clause, relevance to the title has precedence.

RULE 13: The present sentence is matched against the previous sentence by using the RELEVANT subroutine. RELEVANT introduces the semantic attribute \underline{G} into table entries of words which have no attributes and which co-occur in both sentences. It should be noted that since relevance to the title has been handled in RULE 11, any \underline{G} 's encountered in this rule arise solely from words that co-occur in the present and the previous sentences.

if (G in sentence)

then¹

else¹;

if (previous sentence gone) then

delete sentence; <u>else;</u>

RULE 13 applies when there are no semantic keywords which provide a sound basis for deleting the sentence or for allowing it to remain. Words co-occurring in adjacent sentences make it reasonable to assume that the previous sentence is necessary for coherence; thus, the sentence is deleted if the previous sentence has been deleted. RULE 14: This is a coherence rule similar to RULE 13.

<u>if</u> (C in first clause)

if (previous sentence gone) then

delete sentence;

RULE 15: Modifying phrases introduced by words which have the semantic attribute H are generally removed.

if (H in first clause)

then

elsel

then'

if (H is first word of sentence) then

delete sentence;

else

else;

delete from H to end of first clause;

The following rules apply to clauses other than the first; the rules refer to some of the semantic attributes used above but they affect the clauses rather than the whole sentence.

RULE 16:

if (no more clauses in sentence)then1if (verb in sentence)thensentence stays;

else

delete sentence;

get next clause;

<u>RULE 17</u>: If the semantic attributes \underline{E} or \underline{L} occur as the first or second word of the clause, the clause is deleted.

<u>RULE 18</u>: If the semantic attribute \underline{B} occurs in the clause, the clause is deleted.

<u>RULE 19</u>: If the semantic attribute \underline{H} occurs in the clause, deletion occurs from the location of the \underline{H} to the end of the clause.

else¹;

elsel

CONCLUSIONS

The abstracts obtained by application of the algorithms presented here are of sufficiently good quality to indicate that large-scale testing of the methods of the automatic abstracting system is warranted. Our results to date indicate that abstracts can be produced automatically at costs comparable to those manually produced, although economic feasibility can only be ascertained through large-scale testing.

On a test scale we have obtained 80 to 90 percent reduction of text without using the length of the abstract as a criterion in the abstracting algorithm and without changing the dictionary. The small size of the dictionary (700 entries) is due, in a large part, to the fact that it is possible to reject sentences by referring to a small list of frequently occurring words, whereas selection of sentences requires a long list of "desirable" words.

The IBM 360 programs require approximately 15,000 bytes of storage. The data structure has been assigned a storage capacity sufficient to process articles of over 5,000 words; 40,000 bytes are used to store the text and 60,000 bytes are used for the table. The SEMANTIC program is designed for ease of modification, rather than for speed; the cost of abstracting, however, is less than half a cent per word input.

It is expected that in a large-scale system the data structure will constitute a by-product which can be used in text searching and in the production of indexes. The input costs could be significantly reduced by using machine-readable text available from computarized

typesetting operations. Investigations along these lines are currently being carried out.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Baxendale, P. B., "Machine-Made Index for Technical Literature--an Experiment" <u>IBM J. of Research and Development</u> 2(4), 354-61 (1958).
- Edmundson, H. P., "Automatic Abstracting" TRW Computer Division,
 Canoga Park, Cal. RADC TDR-63-93, Feb. 1963.
- 3) Edmundson, H. P., "New methods in Automatic Extracting" J. of the ACM 16(2), 264-85 (1969).
- 4) Edmundson, H. P., and R. E. Wyllys, "Automatic Abstracting and Indexing Survey and Recomendations" <u>Comm. of the ACM</u> 4(5), 226-34 (1961).
- 5) IBM 1620/1710 Symbolic Programming System, C26-5600, IBM Corporation, White Plains, New York.
- <u>IBM System/360 Principles of Operation, A22-6821</u>, IBM Corporation,
 White Plains, New York.
- <u>IBM System/360 Operating System Assembler Language</u>, C28-6514,
 IBM Corporation, White Plains, New York.
- 8) Luhn, H. P., "The Automatic Creation of Literature Abstracts" <u>IBM J. of Research and Development</u> 2(2), 159-65 (1958).
- 9) Salvador, R., "Automatic Abstracting and Indexing" Master's Thesis, The Ohio State University, 1969.
- 10) Thomson Ramo Wooldridge, Inc., "Final Report on Study of Automatic Abstracting" Canoga Park, Cal. RADC - TR-61-230, Sept. 1961.
- Wyllys, R. E., "Extracting and Abstracting by Computer" in <u>Automated Language Processing</u>, H. Borko, editor, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1967.

APPENDIX

Only the listings of the programs CHCOMA, MAIN, SEMANTIC, and WORDCTRL are presented here; listings of the other programs have been presented by Salvador (9).

Sample Computer Produced Abstract	p. 59
Dictionary	p. 60
Program Listings	
CHCOMA	p. 73
MAIN	p. 76
SEMANTIC	p. 82
WORDCTRL	p. 94

۶.

MOSQUITOES FEEDING ON INSECT LARVAE. #P. HARRIS, D. F. RIORDAN, D. COOKE. SCIENCE VOL. 164, NO. 3876, APR 11, 1969# OUR RESULTS SHOW THAT IN THE LABORATORY SOME MOSQUITOES FEED ON INSECT LARVAE AND PRODUCE VIABLE EGGS AS A RESULT; THEY ARE ATTRACTED TO THE LARVAE AND EVIDENTLY RECOGNIZE THEM AS HOSTS. LABORATORY-PROPAGA'IED AEDES AEGYPTI AND CULEX TARSALIS WERE KEPT IN CAGES, 22 BY 22 BY 37 CM, AT 26 DEGREES C, 65% RELATIVE HUMIDITY, AND A 14-HOUR PHOTOPERIOD. THE LIVING INVERTEBRATES WERE PLACED IN THE CAGE WITH THE MOSQUITOES, AND THE CODDLED INSECTS WERE TIED TO THE CAGE WALLS. WHEN CODDLED CELERIO EUPHORBIAE WERE EXPOSED FOUR AT A TIME FOR 1 HOUR, SIX, THREE, ONE, AND ZERO AEDES AEGYPTI FED WHEN THE AVERAGE LARVAL WEIGHTS WERE 0.69, 0.16, 0.04, AND 0.03 G OR LESS, RESPECTIVELY. IN SEROLOGICAL SURVEYS THE CUT CONTENTS OF WILD-CAUGHT MOSQUITOES ARE TESTED ONLY IF DARK OR RED, AND THEN ONLY AGAINST VERTEBRATE ANTISERUMS. IN OPEN WINDSWEPT REGIONS, MOSQUITOES SHELTER IN CLUMPS OF DENSE VEGETATION WHERE THEY ARE CLOSE TO FEEDING LARVAE; THUS THE MOSQUITOES DO NOT NECESSARILY HAVE TO COVER DISTANCES GREATER THAN THOSE IN OUR EXPERIMENTS TO FIND LARVAE.

A NUMBER OF*B A POINT OF*F*P A*L*A ABLE*A ABOUT*B*P ABOVE*C ACCORDING*A ACCORDINGLY*B ACKNOWLEDGE*A ACTUAL* *D ACTUALLY*A ADDITIONAL*E ADVANTAGES*A AFTER*B*P AGAIN*B AGO*A AGREEMENT*A ALL*E ALLOWING*B ALSO*E ALTERNATELY*L ALTERNATIVE*A ALTERNATIVELYSL ALTERNATIVES*A ALTHOUGH*B ALWAYS*A AN * *AAND*L*C ANNUAL CONVENTION*F*F ANNUAL REVIEW*F*F ANOTHER*E ANY*E*D APPEAR*B APPEARS#A **APPRECIATION*A** APPROACHES* *V ARE A NUMBER*A ARE BEING* *W ARE* *X AREA*B AS A RESULT OF*H AS AS*A AS WELL AS*B AS* *R ASPECT*A ASSIGNED* *V ASSIGNMENT*F*F ASSUME*L ASSUMED*A ASSUMES*A ASSUMING* *V **ASSUMPTION***A AT LEAST*B

AT PRESENT*A AT* *P ATTEMPT*A ATTEMPTED*A ATTEMPTS*A AVAILABLE*A B .*F*F BAD*A BASED* *V BE* *W BECAME*B*V **BECAUSE*B** BECOME*B*V BECOMES*B*V **BEEN MADE*A** BEFORE*B*P BELIEVE*A*V BELOW*L*P BETWEEN* *P BEYOND OF *A BINDING ENERGY* *S BOTH*H BOTTOM*E BOUND* *V BUT ALSO*F*F BUT*8*C BY MEANS OF *H **BY CONTRAST*B BY DIFFERENT*B** BY WHICH*B*P BY* *P C .*F*F CAN BE*A CAN OCCUP*B*V CAN* *W CASES*A CAUSES* *V CHART*A CLEARLY*A COMMENTS*B COMPRISES*A*V CONCEPT* *D CONCERNED*B*V **CONSEQUENCE*H CONSEQUENT*H** CONSEQUENTLY*H*D CONSIDER*A*V CONSIDERABLE*A CONSIDER ABLY#A CONSIDERATION*A CONSIDERATIONS*A CONSISTENCY*A CONSISTENT*A

CONTINUED* *V COOLING* *S COULD*A COWORKERS*A CRUCIAL*A CRUDE*B CURIOSITY*A CURIOUSLY*A CURRENT*B*D CURRENTLY*A CURVE*B D .*F*F DEFINE* *V DEFINED* *V DEFINES* *V DEGREE*E DEPEND* *V DEPENDS* *V DEPICT*B*V **DESCRIBED*A DESIRABLE***A DESIRABLE*A DESTROYS* ** DETAILS*A DIAGRAM*A **DISAGREEMENT*B** DISCUSSED*A DISSOCIATION ENERGY* *S DO* *W DOES* *W DONOR*F*F DOUBT*A DOUBTFUL*4 DR .*F*F DRASTIC*A DRAWING*A DUE TO *H DURING*L E . G .*B E *F*F E.G .*B E ACH*E EARLIER *A EARLY*A EASILY*A EFFECTIVE* *D EFFORT*A **EFFORTS*A** EITHER*B **ELECTRON SPIN RESONANCE* *S** ELSEWHERE*A ENOUGH*B ENUMERATED*A

EQS .*A EQUATION*A EQUATIONS*A **EQUIVALENT*E** ESPECIALLY*L ESSENTIAL*A ESSENTIALS*A ESTIMATES*A ET AL .*A ET . AL .*A ETAL .*A ETC .*F*F EVEN*1 EVENTUALLY*B EVERYONE*8 EVIDENT*A EXAMPLE*A EXAMPLES*A EXCEPT*H EXCESSIVE*A EXCITON* *S EXHIBIT* *V EXIST* *V EXPECT*A EXPECTATION*A EXPECTATIONS*A EXPRESSED AS*L F .*F*F FACT*A FACTS*A FAMILIAR*A FEASIBILITY*A FEATURE*A FEEL* *V FELT*B FEW*E*D FIG .*A FIGURE*A FINITE*E FIRS:*C FLOWS* *V FOLLOWING*B FOR EXAMPLE*B FOR THAT REASON*C FOR WHICH*8*P FOR* *P FOR TUNA TELY*A FRAMEWORK* *D FREQUENT*E FROM TO*B*P FROM* *P FUNCTION* *D FUR THER *E

FURTHER MORE*B FUZZY*B G .*F*F GAIN* *V GENERAL* *D GENERALIZATION*8 **GENERALIZATIONS*B** GIVEN ABOVE*A GIVEN BELOW*A GIVEN*L*V G00D*B GRANT*4 GRATITUDE*A GROSS*E H .*F*F HAD BEEN* *W HAS BEEN* *W HAS PROVED*A*V HAS PROVEN≈A*V HAS* *W HAVE BEEN CHARACTERIZED*A HAVE BEEN PROPOSED*A HAVE BEEN* *W HAVE OBSERVED*A*V HAVE* *W HE*C*N **HENCE*C** HERE*B HIS* *N HITHERTO*A HOPED*E HOW*B HOWEVER*B I . E .*B I .*F*F I* *N I.E .*B I.E.*B IDEN'I IFY* *V IF*E **ILLUSTRATE*A** ILLUSTRATED*4*V ILLUSTRATION*A IMPORTANT*K IMPOSSIBLE*A IN THE LAST ANALYSIS*A IN EACH CASE*B IN ORDER TO*B IN PRESENT STUDY*I IN MANY CASES*E IN ORDER TO*H IN OTHER WORDS*8 IN PARTICULAR*B

IN PRINCIPLE*A IN TERMS OF*A IN THAT CASE*C*P IN THE CASE*E IN THE NEXT*A IN VIEW OF*A IN ADDITION*B **IN CONTRAST*B** IN FACT*B IN GENERAL*A IN* *P **INACCURATE*A** INADEQUATELY*A INCLUDED*B*V INCLUDES*A INCREASES* *V INDEED*A INDEX* *D INDICATE* *V INFORMATION TRANFER* *S INITIALLY*E INSTEAD*A **INTEREST*K** INTERESTING*A INTERESTINGLY*A **INTERPRETED*A** INTO* *P INTRODUCTION*A INVARIABLY*A IS BEING* *W IS GIVEN*A IS* *X IT WAS FIRST*B IT THAT*F*F IT TO*F*F IT*C*N ITEM*A ITEMS*A ITS*C*N J .*Г*F K .*F*F KNOW*A KNOWLEDGE*A KNOWN*A*V L .*F*F LAST FEW YEARS*A LAST*E LATER*A LESS*E LET US*A LIKE*A LIKELIHOOD*A LIKELY#A

LIMITED*A*V LITTLE*E LOOK*A*V M *F*F MANGANESE* *S MANY*E MATTERS*B MAY*A*W MAYBE*A MIGHT*A*W MODEL* *D MORE AND MORE*A MORE THAN*A MORE*E MOREOVER*B*D MOST CASES*A MOST*E MOTIVE*A MOTIVES#A MUST*A*W MY*K*N N *F*F NAMELY*B NEITHER* *Z NEUTRAL * *D NEVER* *Z NEVERTHELESS*B NEXT SECTION*A NEXT SECTIONS*A NO ACCURATE*A NO ATTEMPT*B NO* *Z NOR* *Z NOT ALWAYS*B NOT BEEN#A NOT CLEAR*B NOT IMPORTANT*A NOT ONLY*F*F NOT*L*Z NOTED*A*V NOTEWORTHY*K NOW≭B NOWADAYS*B 0 .*F*F **OBSCURE***A **OBVIOUS*A OBVIDUSLY*A** OF ABOUT*H OF COURSE*A 0F* *0 OFFER* *V **OFTEN*E** ON THE OTHER HAND*B
ON WHICH*B*P ON* *P ONCE*L ONE CAN*A ONE OF*B ONE*E ONLY*E OPINION*A OR*H* OTHER*E OTHER S*E OUR RESULTS≭I OUR WORK*I OUR*K*N OVER* *P OVERT*B P .*F*F PARAGRAPH*A PARTICULAR*A PAST*A PER CENT*A **PERHAPS*A PERMITTING***8 PLACE* *V PLACED* *V POINT*B PUINTED OUT *A **POSSIBILITES*A** POSSIBILITY*A POSSIBLY#A POTENTIALITY*A POTENTI ALLY*A PRECISELY*A PRELIMINARY*A PRESENT DAY*A PRESENT PAPER*I PRESENT SENTENCE*I PRESENT YEAR*A PRESENT*B*V PRESENTED HERE*I PRESENTED*A*V PRESENTS* *V PREVIOUS*4 PREVIOUSLY*A **PRIMARILY*B** PROBABLY*A **PROBLEMS*B** PROCESS* *D PROGRESS*A **PROGRESSING*E** PROPOSED*A PROVIDE* *V PUBLISHED*A*V

PURPOSE*K 0 .*F*F QUANTUM MECHANICS* *S QUESTION*A QUESTIONABLE*A QUESTIONED*A*V QUITE*A R *F*F RATHER*A **REASONS*B RECENT*A RECENTLY*A** REF .*A **REFERENCE*A REFERENCES*A** REFER TO*B **REFERS TO*B** REFS .*A **REGARD***B **REGARDLESS*B REPORTED HERE*I** REPORTED*A*V REPORTS* *V RESEMBLE* *V RESPOND* *V **RESPONSE TO THIS*B** RETURNING*L REVIEW*A REVIEWED*A*V REVIEWS*A*V ROUGHLY*A RUDIMENTARY*D S .*F*F SATD*A SAME*B SCARCELY*A SCHEME*A SECT .*A SECTION 1*4 SECTION 2*A SECTION 3*A SECTION A*A SECTION B*A SECTION I*A SECTION II*A SECTION III*A SECTION*A SEE* *V SEEMS*A*V SEGMENT*B SEMICONDUCTOR* *S SEQUEL*A SERVES* *V

SET* *D SETS* *D SEVERAL*E SHALL*A SHE* *N SHOUL D*A*W SHOW* *V SHOWN*A*V SHOWS* *V SIGNIFICANT*A SIMILAR*A SIMILARLY#A SIMPLE* *D SINCE*B -SO THAT*B SOLVE* *V SOME*E SOMETIMES*B SPECIFIES* *V SPECTROMETER* *S SPITE*B STILL*B SUBJECTIVE*A **SUBSEQUENT*B** SUCCESS*4 SUCCINCT*D SUCH*C SUFFFRS* *V SUGGEST*A*V SUGGESTED*A*V SUPERCONDUCTOR* *S SUPPLIED BY*A SUPPOSE*A*V SUPPOSITION*A SURELY*A SURPRISE*A SURVEY*A T .*F*F TAB .*A TABLE*A TAKE*L*V THANK*A*V THANKS*A*V THAT IS*B THAT* *N THE ABOVE*A THE EXCEPTION*H THE REST*B THE SAME*A THE YEAR*B THE* *A THEIR *C*N THEM* *N

THEME PAPER*F*F THEORETICAL* *D THEOR Y*F*F THERE IS*L THEREFORE*C THESE*C*N THEY*C*N THING*B THINK ING*L THIS ARTICLE*I THIS MEANS*A THIS NOTE*I THIS PAPER*I THIS WORK*I THIS YEAR*A THIS*C*N THOSE*C*N THOUGH*H THOUGHT*A*V THROUGH* *P THUS FAR*A THUS*B TO THAT END*C*P TO WHICH*B*P TO* *0 TODAY*A TOGETHER WITH*H TOP#E TOPIC*A TOPICS*A TOTAL*E TOWARDS* *P TRUE*B TYPE*A TYPES*A TYPICAL*A U . S .*F*F U *F*F UNC OMMON#A UNDER* *P UNDER ST AND*A*V UNIMPORTANT*A **UNLIKE*B** UNLIKELY*A UNUSUAL*A UNUSUALLY*A UP TO NOW*A US* *N USING*L*V USUAL*A USUALLY*A V .*F*F VAGUE*A

VALUABLE*K VARIOUS*A VERY*E VIEW*A VIEWED* *V ₩ •*F*F WAS* *X WE AR E*A WE SHALL ATTEMPT*I WE WILL ATTEMPT*I WE*K*N WELL-DEFINED*A WERE* *X WHAT*B WHEN*B WHEREAS*H WHERE*H WHE THER *A WHICH*H*N WHO*H*N WHOM*H*N WHO SE*H*N WHY*A WIDELY*8 WILL*E*W WIRES* *S WISH*A WITH WHICH*B WITH* *P WITHIN* *P WORTHWHILE*K WORTHY*K WOULD BE*E*V WOULD* **V X .*F*F Y .*F*F YEARS*A YET*A YOU* *N 7. .*F*F 1 .*C 1*F*F 1968*F*F 1969*F*F 1970*F*F 2 .*C 2*F*F 3 .*C 3*F*F 4 .*C * 4*F*F 5 .*C 5*F*F

CHCOMA	CSECT	
	STM	14, 12, 12(13)
•	BALR	R10.0
	USTNG	*•R10
	ST	R13 SAVEAREA+4
	1 4	DO CAVEADEA
	LA CT	RZ SAVEAKEA
	51	RZ,8(R13)
	LR	R13,R2
	В	SAVEAREA+72
SAVEAREA	DS	18F
RO	EQU	0
R1	EQU	1 .
R2	FQU	2
83	FOU	3
D A	ENU	
N.T.		+ F
R D	ENU	5
КБ	EQU	6
R 7	EQU	7
R 8	EQU	8
R 9	EQU	9
R10	EQU	10
R11	EQU	11
R12	EQU	12
R13	EQU	13
R14	FQU	14
R15	FQU	15
	1 M	R7.89.0(R1)
	1	$R7_0(R7)$
	1	
0.01111	MV1	PREV,X 00
CUMMA	CLI	5(R/),C','
	BE	FOUND
NEXT	LA	R7,8(R7)
	CR	R7 , R 8
	BNH	COMMA
OUT	MVI	0(R9),X*00*
	L	R13, SAVEAREA+4
	RETURI	(14,12)
FOUND	ST	R7.0(R9)
	BCT	R6.CHECK
	R .	nut
C E D	MVT	0(20) . 21021
JEN	51 A T	
NODM		INCK
NURM	MVI	0(R9), X 01
INCK	MVC	PREV, U(R9)
	LA	R9,4(R9)
	В	NEXT
CHECK	CLI	13(R7),C'C'
	BNE	XX
	CLI	PREV,Xº02*
	BNE	NORM
	MVT	01891-21021

	B	I NC R
XX	LR	R2,R7
XX1 [·]	S	R2,=F*8*
	CLI	4(R2),C'J'
	BE	XX1
	CLI	4(R2),C'B'
	BNE	PRNN
	MVI	0(R9),X'03'
	c	R6.=F181
	BE	INCR
	B	PARN1
	CI T	13/07) CINI
F INTNIN	BE	NODM
	BE	PARN .
·		13(R/), U'V'
	BE	PARN
	CLI	13(R7),C'W'
	BE	PARN
	CLI	13(R7),C'X'
	BNE	CALL
PARN	С	R6,=F*8*
	BE	SER
	MVI	0(R9),X*03*
PARN1	CLI	PREV. X 021
,	BE	INCR
	I R	R2.R9
	S	82.=F*4*
	MVT	0(R2),X1021
	B	
C A L I	1.4	P2.61/071
GALL		
	BL	
	51	R3, CHEND
	В	CA2
CAL	ST	R2, CHEND
CA2	MVC	ROLES(2),=C°C,*
	LA	R1,13(R7)
	ST	R1,CHBEG
	MVI	AJA,X'00'
	CALL	CHECKROL, (CHBEG, CHEND, ROLES)
	CLI	ROLES,X'00'
	BE	CA3
	01	AJA, X*04*
	MVC	LAST(4) • CHBEG
CA3	1 4	R1.13(R7)
	с <u>л</u>	R1.CHBEG
	MVC	
		CHECKDOL (CHEEC CHEND DOLLS)
	CIT	DOLES VIAN
		NULESIA VUV
	5 5 5	
	UI	AJA,X"U8"

CA4	SR	R1, R1
	- IC	R1,AJA
•	В	CA5(R1)
CA5	В	NORM
	В	SER
	В	NORM
	CLC	CHBEG, LAST
	BL	NORM
	В	SER
	DC	C CONSTANTS
AJA	DS	XL1
PREV	DS	CL1
LAST	DS	F
CHBEG	DS	F
CHEND	DS	F
ROLES	DC	3F '0'
	END	

MAIN	CSECT		
	ENTRY	PRINTER	
	STM	14,12,12(13)	
	BALR	R10.0	
	USING	*•R10	
	ST	R13.SAVFARFA+4	
	ΙΔ	R2.SAVEAREA	
	сл ст	R2-8(R13)	
		R13.P2	
	R		
SAVEADEA	ns –	18E	
DO	EOU	0	
D1	EOU	1	
N I D 2		1	
02		2	
D E		+ 5	
R) D4	EQU		
ко р.7	ENU		
K (EUU		
K8	EQU	8	
KY DIA	EQU	9	
RIU ·	EQU		
R11 612	EQU		
RIZ	EQU	12	
RI3	EUU	13	
RL4	EQU		
RIS	EQU		
*****	UPEN	(CARD, (INPUT), PRINTER, (UUTP)	0111
TUSIZE	EQU		
IBS12E	EWU	60000	
START		R5,=A(LUAREA)	
NAUA	GEI		
		$0(2,R1),=C^{*}$	
	BE	LOOP10	
	MVC	0(80,R5),0(R1)	
	LA	R5,80(R5)	
	C	R5,=A(IUAREA+IUSIZE)	
F 1	BL	NADA	
FLI	PUT	PRINIER, CHANI	
	MVC	LINE, BLANKS	
	MVC	LINE(42),=C'IOAREA	
	PUT	PRINTER,CC	
FLUSH	GET	CARD	
	CLC	0(2,R1),=C'\$\$'	
	BE	START	
	B	FLUSH	
L00P10	MVC	OPTIONS (78), 2(R1)	
L02	BCTR	R5,0	
	CLI	O(R5),C'.'	
	BNE	L02	
	ST	R5, END PER OD	
	L	R3,=A(IOAREA+IOSIZE)	
	MVI	0(R3),X'00'	

4,						
		CALL	MOVE. (IDAREA+IDSIZE+1.IDAREA+I	OSTZE.LEN)		
		1	$R5 = A(T \cap ARFA)$	COLLICE LENG	77	
		1	R6 = A(IOAREA + IOSI7E)			
		SR	R1.R1			
		L	R11,=F'0'			
	LOOP11	c	R6 = A(IOAREA + IOSIZE + TBSIZE)			
		BNL	FL1			
		TRT	0(256,R5),TRTBLANK .			
		BC	6,L00P12			
		LA	R5,256(R5)			
		В	LOOP11			
	L00P12	LR	R5,R1			
	L00P13	TRT	0(256,R5),TRTTBL			
		BC	6,L00P14			
		LA	R5,256(R5)			
		В	LOOP13			
	L00P14	CLI	O(R1),C**			
		BNE				
	C114D3	B TDT				-
	CHARI					
		ос С 0				
			STWDCH			
		B	SEQCHAR			
	PHONY	ČLT	0(R1).C*(*			
		BE	LEFTBLK			
		CII	0(R1),C'<'			
		BE	LEFTBLK			
		CLI	0(R1),C'-'			
		BNE	RIGHTBLK			
	HYPHEN	LR	R3,R1			_
		BCTR	R3,0			
		CLI	0(R3),C''			
		BE	RIGHT			
		CLI	0(R3),C'A'			
		BL	FORGET			
	DICUT	8H CLT				
	KIGHT	RE				
		CLI	2(83).014			
		BI	ENRGET			•
		CLT	2(R3)+C171			
		BH	FORGET			
		В	CR1			:
	RIGHTBLK	C	R1, ENDPEROD			
		BE	CR1	•		
		STM	R1,R2,DBL			
•		TRT	1(1,R1),TRTTBL			
		LM	R1,R2,DBL			
		BC	8, FORGET			
	CR1	CR	R5, R1			
		BE	SEQUHAR			
	•					
				:		

	В	SIWDCH
LÉFTBLK	LR	R3.R1
	BCTR	R3.0
	CLI	0(83).01 1
	RE	SEOCHAR
FORCET		DI JOIN
FURGET		
	IRI	0(256,R1),IRIIBL
	BC	6,L00P14
	LA	R1,256(R1)
	В	FORGET
STWDCH	ST	R1,8(R6)
	MVI	8(R6),X*01*
	STC	R2.13(R6)
	STC	R2.12(R6)
	STH	R11.6(R6)
	1.6	D11_1(D11)
		N1191(N11) D11 14/D4
	510	$K[1]_{I}[4(KO)]$
	LK	K3,KI
	SR	R3,R5
	ST	R5,0(R6)
	STC	R3,0(R6)
	С	R1, ENDPEROD
	BNL	SORT
	LA	R1,1(R1)
	LR	R5,R1
	LA	R6,16(R6)
	LA	R11.1(R11)
	B	100011
SEOCHAR	STH	R11.6(R6)
JEQUIAN	ст	85-01861
	MIVT	0/061 21011
	STC	
	SIC	
	SIC	
	L D.W	K3, ENDPERUD
	BNL	SURT
	LA	R5,1(R5)
	LA	R6,8(R6)
	L۵	R11,1(R11)
	B	LOOP11
STWD	LR	R3,R1
	SR	R3, R5
	ST	R5,0(R6)
	STC	R3.0(R6)
	STH	R11.6(R6)
	1 A	R1.1(R1)
	LA	
	5 5	
	PRINT	NUGEN
SORT	ST	R11,ULTIMA
	CALL	SORT, (IDAREA+IDSIZE, ULTIMA)
	CLI	OPTIONS,C***

				Ur U)) 1						(-	10 4		SEI			SEO					·											ι Γ	n n			0P3						0P2		
	_	_		 					_	_		-				_			-			-71	~		_	_			-		~		- -									-19	- -	.	-
			CALL			MVC	ST	A	CALL	CALL		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~			Α.	Δ	۸VC	٩vc	H	ע	H	H	SR	A			- (r	7	NE	I I	3NL	<pre></pre>		AVI		CALL	ALL	٩vc	ST	- A	3NE			2 n
R13, SAVEAREA+4	(CARD. • PRINTER)		INDEX, (IOAREA+IOSIZE, ULTIMA, IND)	ETN	PRINTL, (DATA)	DATA+4(4), ENDPEROD	R1,DATA	R1, IOAREA	SHRINK, (IOAREA+IOSIZE, ULTIMA, ENDPEROD)	SEMANTIC, (IDAREA+IOSIZE, ULTIMA)	FIN	INDE AY LIGHNEA, INGIELYGE, INAY INGI	TNDEX_{IDAREA+IDSIZE_HITIMA_IND)	OPTIONS+2,C***	SE	R6,1(R6)	R7,8(R7)	4(2,R7),=C"JJ"	4(2,R3),=C'FF'	R3 + H * 8 *	R3 • R7	SEO	R4,=H°2°	R4 - R3	R4,0(R4)	R3,0(R3)	R4.8(R7)	R3.0(R7)		SEO	5(R7),C'.'	SE1	R6-ULTTMA		FIRST, X'00'	R7,=A(IDAREA+IOSIZE)	WORDCTRL, (IOAREA+IOSIZE, ULTIMA)	PRINTL, (DATA)	DATA+4(4), ENDPEROD	R1, UATA	R1, IOAREA	0P3	OPTIONS+1, C***	FRFO. (IDAREA+INSIZE. ULTIMA)	222

· .

s,								
		RETURN	(14,12)				80	
	TRTTBL		256X 00	1				
·		DKG	1K118L+U'		×			
		ORG	TRTTBI+C'¢	r				
		DC	7AL1(*-TRT1	ſBL)				
		ORG	TRTTBL+C'!	I				
· · · · ·		DC	8AL1(*-TRT	FBL)				
		ORG	TRTTBL+C',	l 				
		DC	SALL(* TRTT	FBL)				
			1K110L+U') 6AL1(x-T0T1	, r Q i 1				
		ORG	OALI (* IKI)					
	TRTBLANK	DC	256AL1(*-T	RTBLANK)		·		
		ORG	TRTBLANK					
		DC	X*FF*					
		ORG	TRTBLANK+C	ł				
		DC	X1001					
	C114.0	URG	254 7 100 1					
	CHAR		CHAR+C1.1					
		DC	3AL1(*-CHAP	२)				
		ORG	CHAR+C!(!					
		DC	C'('					
		ORG	CHAR+C'-'					
		DC	C'-'			- •		
	•	DRG	CHAR+C',					
		00	CHAR+C1>1					
			C'>'					
	· .	ORG						
	PRINTER	DCB	DDNAME=PRI	NTER, DSORG	=PS,MACRF=	=(PM)		
	CHAN1	DC	X * 8B *					
	00	DC	X*09*					
		DS	CL132					
	CARD			D. DSORG=PS	- FODAD=FO.	L-MACRE=((3	
	CARD	DC (CONSTANTS	01 0000-10	, LOORD-LO		, ,	
	FIRST	DS	XL1					
	IND	DS	XL1					
	LEN	DC	F*59999*					
	OPTIONS	DS	CL78					
	DBL	DS	D					
			F					
		03	2F					
	FORMAT	DC	C**JXOGXLD	DE31				
		DC	C'TR1LA19L	DOXL				
	DDCARD	DC	C * 1LS31 XA1	1				
		DÇ	C QLFVL 30X	T				
		LTURG	A D					
	TOADEA	20 20	00 6000001					
	IUANEA	03	TUUUUULI					
			· .					

DS 60000CL1 END

	MACRO	
&LABEL .	DELT	ξΑ, ξΒ
&LABEL	L	R1, £4
	IΔ	R1.0(R1)
	CT CT	D1 DELBON
	31	
	L	RI, EB
	LA	R1,0(R1)
	ST	R1, DELEND
	BAL	R11,DELETE
	MEND	
	MACPO	
C4 40 51	BOLCU	C 4 C D
LABEL	RULCH	ζ Α, ζΒ
&LABEL	L	R1,&A
	LA	R1,4(R1)
	ST	R1,CHBEG
	t.	R1 • 8 B
	īΔ	81.4(81)
	ст ст	
		CHECKDOL (CHDEC CHEND DOLEC)
	LALL	CHECKRUL, (CHBEG, CHEND, RULES)
	MEND	
	MACRO	
&LABEL	ROLSY	ξΑ, ξΒ
&LABEL	L	R1.8A
	1 4	R1.5(R1)
	ST	R1. CHBEG
	1	
	L 1 1	
•	LA	RI, 5(RI)
	ST	R1, CHEND
	CALL	CHECKROL, (CHBEG, CHEND, ROLES)
	MEND	
SEMA	TITLE	• SEMANTIC*
SEMANTIC	CSECT	
	STM	14.12.12(13)
•	BAID	P10.0
	UCTNC	
	USTING CT	
	51	RI3, SAVEAREA+4
	LA	R2, SAVEAREA
	ST	R2,8(R13)
	LR	R13,R2
	B	SAVEAREA+72
SAVEAREA	DS	18F
RO	FOIL	0
D 1	EQU	1
N 1 N 2		
RZ DD		2
K3	EQU	3
К4	FOU	4
R 5	EQU	5
R 6	EQU	6
R7	ΕΩυ	7
R 8	EQU	8
R 9	FOU	9
RIO	FOU	10
011		11
N 1 1	ロシロ	T T

R12	EQU	12
R13	EQU	13
R14	EQU	14
R15	EQU	15
	PRINT	NOGEN
	LM	R7, R8, O(R1)
	1	R8.0(R8)
	STM	R7.R8.TABLE
	SR	R6.R6
SE	MVC	612-873112101
30	C	
	BMI	SE1
	I A	R7-8(R7)
	1.6	P6.1(P6)
		CC
651	D CT	
361	21	
	MV1	
	LAP	
	L C	RI, IABLE
	5	R1,=F'8'
	SI	RI,ESENIC
	BAL	R11, PERIOD
SE2	L	R1, ESENTC
		12(R1),C*#*
	BE	SE3
<u>e</u>		
4	BE	
	BAL	RII, PERIUU
NODEE	5	St2
NUREF	MVC	PUUNU2,=F'O'
•	MVC	ETTILE, ESENIC
653	B	
5E3	MVC	
	51	RI, UHBEG
	LA	RI, 1600(RI)
	C	R1, TABPERUD
	BH	SE31
	51	RI, CHEND
SE31	MVI	RULES,C'#
	CALL	CHECKRUL, (CHBEG, CHEND, RULES)
	CLI	ROLES,X'00'
	BE	NOREF
	L	R1, CHBEG
	S	R1,=F*4*
	ST	R1, POUND2
	ST	R1,ESENTC
SE4	MVC	A, TABLE
	MVC	B,ETITLE
	L.».	R1,ESENTC
	LA	R1,8(R1)
	ST	R1,C
	MVC	D,TABPEROD

BAL

R11, RELEVANT

MVI YYYZ,X'00' MVI YYONLY, X'00' MVI BSE1,X*00* MVI BSE2,X'00' BSE3,X'00' MVI MVI BSENTC, X'00' CHECK ТΜ END, X'01' B0 FLAREM MVI X,X'00' EL,X'00' MVI MVI PACORE, X'00' ZAP K1,=P'0' AP JULIO, = P'1' MVC BSE3,BSE2 MVC BSE2,BSE1 MVC BSE1, BSENTC MVC PRYYONLY, YYONLY MVI YYONLY, X'00' MVC PRYYYZ, YYYZ MVI YYYZ,X'00' BAL R11, PERIOD MVI ROLES, C'I' ROLCH BSENTC, ESENTC CHE1 MVC YYYES, ROLES MVC YYONLY, ROLES MVC YYYZ, ROLES CLI ROLES, C'I' BNE CHE2 LA R15,X1Y1 BAL R14, NEGTEST MVI ROLES, C'I' BAL R12, SCAN В CHE1 CHE2 MVI ROLES, C'A' ROLCH BSENTC, ESENTC CHE3 CLI ROLES, C'A' BNE CH1 LA R15, CHE4 BAL R14, NEGTEST MVI ROLES, C'A' BAL R12, SCAN В CHE3 CHE4 MVI X,X'01' X1Y1 B DELE DELT BSENTC, ESENTC L R1, BSENTC MVI 7(R1),X'01' MVI PRVSEN, X'01' MVI YYYZ,X'00' MVI YYONLY, X'00' В CHECK CH1 MVC ROLES(2),=C'?='

	ROLCH	BSENTC, ESENTC
	CLI	ROLES, X'00'
•	BNE	DELE
	MVT	ROLES-CIKI
	POICH	RCENTC ECENTC
	NUC	
	MVC	TTTES, RULES
CHE5	CLI	RULES, C'K'
•	BNE	X1 Y1
	LA	R15,CHE6
	BAL	R14, NEGTEST
	MVT	ROLES-CIKI
	BAL	B12-SCAN
	P	CUER
CUEC	NVC	
	MVC	TRT298ULES
X1Y11	ZAP	
	MVC	TEMP1, BSENTC
	MVI	ROLES,C'B'
CH2	ROLCH	TEMP1, ESENTC
	CET	ROLES X 1001
	RE	X1 Y1
	A D	
	BNL	DELE
	L	R1,CHBEG
	LA	R1,4(R1)
-	ST	R1,TEMP1
	В	CH2
NEGTEST	L	R1.CHBEG
	SH	R1.=H171
		0(01)-0171
	BUR	8,K14
	SH	R1,=H*8*
	CLI	O(R1),C'Z'
	BCR	8,R14
	BR	R15
SCAN	L	R1.CHBEG
	ΙA	R1 • 4(R1)
	ST	P1_CHBEC
	RULUN	CHBEG, ESENIC
	BK	RIZ
XY2	MV I	PACORE, X'01'
XY22	CALL	CHCOMA, (BSENTC, ESENTC, COMAS)
	MVC	BPHRAS, BSENTC
	LA	R1.COMAS
	Ι Δ	R3. PROCEDI
	1.8	
1.01		
L M 1.		
	BCK	8,83
	CLI	0(R1),X*01*
	BCR	8,R4
	CLI	0(R1),X'03'
	BE	23
1 P2	1 4	R1.4(R1)

	в	LPI
Z3 ·	С	R1,=A(COMAS)
•	BNE	7.4
· .	MVC	TEMP1 - RSENTC
	MVC	TEMD2 0/011
		TEMP290(NI)
- ·	8	25
24	MVC	1EMP2,0(R1)
	LR	R2, R1
	S	R2,=F*4*
	MVC	TEMP1,0(R2)
25	LR	R5.R1
	MVT	KT11 - X+01+
	DELT	TEMD1 TEMD2
		DI DE
	LK	
	8	
PROCED1	MVI	EDSEN,X'01'
	MVC	EPHRAS, ESENTC
	В	Z6
G00D1	MVI	EOSEN, Xº00º
	MVC	EPHRAS.O(R1)
	ST	R1. TABCOM
76		VVVES VIONI
20		VVAA
	DNE	X144 X X1001
	ULI	X • X • 00 •
	BNE	XY44
	MVI	ROLES,C'B'
•	ROLCH	BPHRAS, EPHRAS
	CLI	ROLES,C'B'
	BE	DELE
	1	R1.BSENTC
		77
		4(R1), U'L'
	BE	27
	CLI	12(R1),C'E'
	BE	27
	CLI	12(R1),C'L'
	BE	27
	В	G
77	MVT	E1 . X1011
4 I	R	
^		
G	MVI	RULES, C'G'
	RULLH	BSENIC, ESENIC
	MVC	YYYES, ROLES
	CLI	ROLES,C'G'
	BE	XY44
X1Y1	MVC	TEMP2, BSENTC
XY1	MVI	ROLES.C'I'
	ROICH	TEMP2.ESENTC
	CL T	
		EONV
	DNC	
	L	K3, CHBEG
	C S	83.25141

	ST	R3,TEMP1
	LA	R3,8(R3)
	AP	K1,=P ¹ 1 ¹
SIGUE	ST	R3, BPAREN
	MVC	ROLES(2),=C'()'
	RPICH	BPAREN. ESENTC
	CIT	ROLES-XTODT
,		
	AP	$K1, = P \cdot 1 \cdot$
	L	R3, CHBEG
	LA	R3,4(R3)
	В	SIGUE
SIG1	SP	K1,=P*1*
	CP	K1,=P'0'
	BE	REMPAR
	Ĺ	R3,CHBEG
	I A	R3.4(R3)
	B	STOLE
	1	P1. CHBEC
NUMBER	c c	
	cr ·	
	ST MAR	DDADEN TEMDI
		DPAREN, TEMPI
	MVI	KILL,X'UI'
	DELI	BPAREN, ETAREN
REMP	L	R3, EPAR EN
	LA	R3,8(R3)
	C	R3, ESENTC
	BNL	FONY
• -	ST	R3,TEMP2
	B	XY1
COREPA	MVC	TEMP3, BPAREN
COR	MVT	ROLES.C.
	ROLCH	TEMP3.EPAREN
	CII	ROLES-CI.I
	BNE	REMP
	1	R1.CHBEG
		0/2 01 -1010
	e vu	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$
	3 CT	
	51	RI, TEMPS
	B	COR
FONY	TM	PACORE,X'01'
	ΒZ	XY2
	MVI	ROLES,C'E'
	ROLCH	BSENTC, EPHRAS
	CLI	ROLES,C'E'
·	BNE	XY4
	MVT	EL,X'01'
	R ·	XY44
XY4	СР	.111 TO-=P111
ALT -	RF	1EVV1
	υL. MVC	A BSENTC
	TIVI.	ALC DO THE INFLU

	MVC	B.ESENTC
	MVC	C-BSF1
	1	R1_BSENTC
	C C	R1_========
	ст	
		DII DELEVANT
		RILINELEVANI
	MVI	RULES, C'G'
	RULLH	
		RULES, C'G'
	RNE	XY44
	ΤM	PRVSEN, X'01'
	BO -	DELE
XY44	СР	JULIO,=P'1'
	BE	IFYY1
	MVI	ROLES,C'C'
	ROLCH	BSENTC, EPHRAS
	CLI	ROLES, C'C'
	BNE	IFYY1
	TM	PRVSEN,X'01'
	BZ	IFYY1
EUSKAL	CLI	YYYES.X'00'
2001112	BE	DELE
•	. 1 1	R4-3
	1 A	
FUCKAL 1		
EUSNALI	"IVC	
• .	L C	
	5	
	51	RI,RSIRZ
	BAL	R11, RESTURE
•	CALL	CHCUMA, (RSTR1, RSTR2, CUMAS2)
	LA	R1,COMAS2
LLPP11	CLI	0(R1),X*00*
	BE	NEXTXY
	CLI	0(R1),X*01*
	BE	YESCOMA
	LA	R1,4(R1)
	В	LLPP11
YESCOMA	MVC	RSTR2,0(R1)
NEXTXY	MVI	ROLES,C'C'
	ROLCH	RSTR1, PSTR2
	CLI	ROLES,C'C'
	BF	FUSKAL2
	B	XY9
EUSKAL 2	I A	R12.4(R12)
LUSIALL		D5-4(D5)
		RCE2 ECENTC
		DDVSEN VIAII
	MV1	PRVSENTATULT
D C C T C C C	5 _{7 (1}	
RESTORE	L	KI,KSIKI
RES1	MVI	5(R1),X'F9'
	С	RI, RSTR2

	BUK	11,811
· .	LA	R1,8(R1)
	B	RES1
AVERX	CLI	ROLES,C'C'
	BNE	DELE
	CLT	PRYY7-X1001
	BNE	DELE
	DAL	
	DELI	DSEL, DSENIC
	В	DELE
AVEREL	CLI	ROLES,CIC!
1	BNE	DELE
	CLI	PRYYONLY,X'00'
	BE	DELE
	В	XY9
IEYY1	CLT	YYYES.XIOOI
1, 1, 1	RNE	YVO
		X X X X X X X X X X
	BE	AVERX
	CLI	EL,X'01'
	BE	AVEREL
	MVI	ROLES,C'H'
	ROLCH	BSENTC, EPHRAS
	CLI	ROLES,C'H'
	BNE	NEXCOM
	1	R1.CHBEG
	Ŝ	R1.=F141
	Ċ	R1 . BSENTC
• •	BE	DELE
	ST	R1 TEMP1
		TEMDI EDHDAS
NEVCOM	MVT	EVID VIOOR
NEACOM	"IV 1 T M	SNIP A VIOL
	1 M	EUSEN, X'UL'
	BO	XY9
	L	R1, EPHRAS
	LA	R1,8(R1)
	ST	R1, BPHRAS
	L	R1,TABCOM
,	LA	R1,4(R1)
	LA	R3, PROCED2
	LA	R4.G0002
	B	I P1
PROCEDS	MVT	EDSEN, YTOL
I ROOLDE	MVC	EDUDAS ESENTO
	D	744
<u>c aon a</u>	UT MAA T	EDCEN VIDOR
60002	PIVE	EUSEN, A'UU'
	MVL	EPHKAS, O(R1)
	ST	RI, TABCOM
Z66	L	R1, BPHRAS
	CLI	4(R1),C'E'
	BĘ	Z666
	CĹT	12(R1),C'E'
	BE	Z666
	CLT	4(R1).C111

	BE	2666
	CLI	12(R1),C'L'
	BNE	277
Z666	Ł	R1, BPHRAS
	BAL	R11,FIXPC
277	MVI	ROLES,C'B'
	ROLCH	BPHRAS, EPHRAS
	CLI	ROLES,C'B'
	BNF	788
	1	R1 BPHRAS
	RAI	R11_FIXPC
788	MVT	
200	00100	
		DEDEC CIUI
	DINE	
	L C	RI, CHBEG
	5	
	BAL	RIL, FIXPC
	В	NEXCOM
XY9	MVC	TEMP, BSENTC
XY99	MVC	ROLES(3),=C'VWX'
	ROL SY	TEMP, ESENTC
	CLI	ROLES, X '00'
	BE	DELE
	L	R1,CHBEG
	CLI	1(R1),C'D'
	BNE	XY100
	LA	R1,3(R1)
	ST	R1 + TEMP
	В	XY99
XY100	MVI	PRVSEN, Xº00º
	B .	СНЕСК
FLAREM	L	R13,SAVEAREA+4
	RETUR	N (14,12)
PERIOD	STM	RO.R15.SAVE
	1	RI-ESENTC
	īΔ	R1 • 8 (R1)
	ST	R1.BSENTC
	1 1	R1,4(R1)
	CT CT	
	1	
	1 A	
	CT CT	
	NUC	RIJCHENU Roleclal
		CUTCHDOL CUDEC CHEND DOLEGA
	UALL	DI CUDEC
D C O		
PEO		8(R1), C', '
	BNE	Pt1
	LA	R1,8(R1)
	C	RI,CHEND
	BE	PE3
	B	PEO
PE1	S	R1,=F*4*

÷ ...

	51	RL, ESENIC
	CLC	CHBEG, CHEND
•	BNE	PE5
DEA	MVT	END XIOII
, , ,	0	
	D	
PE3	S	R1,=F'4'
	ST	R1,ESENTC
	В	PE4
PF5	1 M	RO.R15.SAVE
	BR	R11
	CT.	
DELETE	31	NI JAVL
	L	RI, DELBGN
DELOOP	С	R1, DELEND
	BH	DEL1
	CLI	KILL,Xº00
	BE	DELO
	MVC	4(2.B1) = CIDDI
	MVT	
DELU	MVI A A	01 01 01 0
	LA	R1,8(R1)
	В	DELUOP
DEL1	L	R1,SAVE
	MVI	KILL,X*00'
	BR	R11
RELEVANT	STM	RO. B15. SAVE
	1	P6 - A
100010	L C	NO A
AKKUWI	C .	KD 9 D
· ·	BNL	00111
	CLI	5(R6),C'S'
	BE	ARROW11
	CLC	4(2,R6),=XL2'0'
	BNE	AR1
ARROW11	1 .	R8.C
AD2	ř	P.S.D
ANZ	DAU	
	DINL	AKI
		0(1,88),0(86)
	BNE	AR3
	L	R11,0(R6)
	L	R12,0(R8)
	SR	R1, P1
	TC	R1.0(R6)
		P1 0
	BUTK GTC	
	SIC	RI,AR4+L
AR4	CLC	0(0,R11),0(R12)
	BNE	AR3
	CLC	A, TABLE
	BNE	AR5
	MVT	5(R6) . C'S'
	MVT	51081 (101
1DE		JIN0140.3.
AKO	MVI	4(K0),0'6'
	MVI	4(R8),C*G*
AR 3	LA	R8,8(R8)
	В	AR2
AR1	LA	R6,8(R6)

	В	ARRUWI
OUTTT	LM	RO,R15,SAVE
	BR	R11
FIXPC	STM	RO, R15, SAVE
	Ċ.	R1.BPHRAS
	BNE	FTY1
	MVT	SKID VIOII
	r(V1	
	3 6 7	
	51	RI, IEMPI
	8	FIX2
FIX1	ST	RI, IEMPI
FIX2	L	RI, EPHRAS
	S	R1,=F*8*
	ST	R1,TEMP2
	DELT	TEMP1, TEMP2
	TM	SKIP,X*01*
	LM	RO, R15, SAVE
	80	NEXCOM
	BR	R11
	DC	CT CONSTANTS
TARLE	20	F
HETTMA	ns	F
TADDEDOD	0.5	F
	nc	E C
	05	F 1 1
	05	5
RULES		3F · U ·
PUCNUZ	05	
ETITLE	DS	F .
SAVE	DS	16-
4	DS	F
·B	DS	F
С	DS	F
D	DS	F
END	DS	XL1
YYYES	DS	XL1
PRVSEN	DS	XL1
PACORE	DS	XL1
ESENTC	DS	F
BSENTC	DS	F
BSE1	DS	F
BSE2	DS	F
BSE3	DS	F
DELBGN	ns.	F
DELEND	กรั	F
COMAS	ns	105
COMAS COMAS2	05	105
TEMD.	00 00	F
TEMOI	nc	, E
TEMP1	ns ns	Ċ
		Г Г
IEMP3	U.S	г
BPHRAS	US	F .
EPHRAS	DS	F
EDSEN	DS	XL1

IND1	05	XL1
К1	DS	PL2
BPAREN	DS	F
EPAREN	DS	F
RSTR1	DS	F
RSTR2	DS	F
TABCOM	DS	F
JULIO	DS	PL6
SKIP	DS	XL1
YYYZ	DS	XL1
PRYYYZ	DS	XL 1
PRYYONLY	DS	XL1
YYONLY	DS	XL1
KILL	DC	X . 00.
EL	DS	XL1
Х	DS	XL1
	END	

WORDCTRL	CSECT	
	STM	14,12,12(13)
•	BALR	R10,0
	USING	*-R10
	ST	R13.SAVEAREA+4
	IΔ	R2-SAVEAREA
	ST	R2,8(R13)
		P13.P2
	R	
CAVEADEA	0	10E
DO	500	101
RU D1	EQU	0
KI DO	EQU	
RZ RO	EQU	2
R3	EQU	3
R4	EQU	4
R 5	EQU	5
R6	EQU	6
R7	EQU	7
R 8	EQU	8
R9	EQU	9
R10	EQU	10
R11	EQU	11
R12	EQU	12
R13	FOU	13
R14	FNII	14
D15	EOU	15
KI J		
	1.03 1	
	ст ст	
T D1	51	
IDL	ENO	1
KL	EQU	9
	MVI	KU, X 00*
	L	K1, =F'-1'
	OPEN	(STPLIST, (INPUT))
READ2	ZAP	$RIC_{,=}P^{\dagger}O^{\dagger}$
	ΖΑΡ	IAI,=P°1'
	GET	STPLIST
	LA	R4, TABLILLA
	LR	R3, R1
TRT	TPT	0(60,R3),BLKSTR
	LR	R2.R1
	SR	R1-R3
	ST .	B3-0(B4)
	STC	R_{1} , 0(R_{4})
	C1 T	
	LA	K494(K4)
	LA	R2,1(R2)
	LR	K3, R2
	AP	$I \land I , = P + I $
	В	TRT
LOOP2	MVC	WORCOD(1),1(R2)
	MVC	WORCOD+1(1),3(R2)

	TR	WORCOD(2),TRTBL
	TM	K0,X*01*
	BO	REPITE
L00916	ZAP	LEON,=P'1'
	1 4	K1.1(K1)
	C.	Κ1.ΙΗ ΤΙ ΜΔ
	вн	HALT2
	ST	K1 - K11
	12	R6-K1
	SE 1	R6-3
	14	DR. ALDA TREN
	CI 1	
		DE ALTRE DOL
		ELDEN VIOOI
		REPITE Sinci Vigot
0 5 0 1 7 5		
REPTIE	SK	
	10	
	BH	
1.00%		RI, TABLILLA
LUUK	BUIK	
	ι 1	
	L 0E	MATCH
-	וס	
COMD		
MATCH		
MATCH		
-	DL DL	
M 6 T 1		
PIATI		
	DE	
MATO	5 C D	PULLUN
MATZ		
	_ DC	
DECT	EY	
NC31		
	יום ס	
I NE OM		
LNLUW		
		MATO
		PIC -DIII
газа	АF С D	
		RIU9-P-1- DACAI
	CT CT	L N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N
	21	NIINNI CTD -FICI
DACAI	MVC CD	UIK9#F*U*
PASAL		LALYENIL
	LA	KIZ, TABLILLA
	LK	R119RD

EMPTY	PASA6	AR 1	ARROW	PASA5	PASA4	PASA2	PASA3	FLE1	•	FLECHC	
B C L I	CP SP I	BH MVI BF	LA CLI BE		IC BCTR STC CLC BE		с С С С С С С С С С В С С П В С С П В С С П В С С П В С С П В С С П В С С П В С С	BE CLI	CL BCBCP		ZAP
ARROW WORCOD,X'00' PASA9	5(R12),C'J' BRUJA,=P'1' BRUJA,=P'0'	4(R12),X'CO' AR1 4(R12),C'J' WORCOD+1,X'OO' PASA6	R12,R5 R12,8(R12) WORCOD,X'00' AR1	BRUJD,=P'4" LOOP16 FLECHB LEON,IAI FIECHA	R1,0(R12) R1,0 R1,PASA4+1 0(0,R14),0(R15 PASA5	LOOP16 FLECHB R14,0(R12) R15,0(R11)	LOOP16 5(R11),C*:* LOOP16 0(1,R12),0(R11 PASA2 PASA2	PASA5 LOOP16 5(R11),C',' LOOP16 5(R11),C';'	R11,8(R11) 5(R11),X'00' PASA3 5(R11),C'.' FLE1 R14,0(R12) 0(R14),C'.'	LEON,=P'1' R12,4(R12) BRUJO,=P'1'	BRUJA, = PTOT

	CLI	4(R5),C'J'
	BE	PASA8
	CLI	4(R5),X*00*
	BNE	PASA9
PASA8	MVI	4(R5),X'FF'
	LA	R0,1
	A	RO • CTR
	ST	RO.CTR
PASAG	rit	WORCOD+1-X1001
I AGA 2	RE	CLEADED IN CO
	CLT.	SCREN VIONI
	DNE	
DACALO		ELL DEL HODCODIL
PASALU	MVC	5(1,R5),WURC00+1
ULEARNU	MV 1	KU, X.00.
	8	LUUPIG
LEESTO	MVI	KO,X'01'
	8	READ2
FOLLON	ST	K1,K12
	SR	R2, R2
	TRT	WORCOD(1),ABIK
	BC	8,ASIS
	L	RO.CTR
	ĪA	R15.500
	c	R15-III TTMA
	BL	USECOUNT
	1 1	R15-1000
	MD	P14.90
	D	
	10	DO DIE
HEECOLAIT		
USECOUNT	В	USECUUNI(RZ)
	В	A
	В	B
	В	I
	В	к
Α	СН	R0,=H*7*
	BNH	ASIS
	MVI	WORCOD,C'B'
	В	ASIS
В	СН	RO,=H*7*
	BNH	ASIS
	MVI	WORCOD, C'E'
	В	ASTS
T	сн	R0.=H141
1	BNH	
	MAYE	
	MV1	WUKCUD, C.K.
•	CH	R0,=H•8•
	RNH	ASIS
2	MVI	WURCOD, C'G'
	В	ASIS
К	СН	RO,=H'4'
	BNH	ASIS
	MVI	WORCOD,C'G'
	СН	R0,=H'8'

	BNH	ASIS
	MVI	WORCOD,X'00'
ASIS	L.	K1•KK1
	BCTR	K1.0
ASTSLOOP	1 A	K1.1(K1)
	C	K1.K12
	BNI	ENLIN2
	IR	R6-K1
	CII	D6 3
		DO CIDE TREA
		DO D
~	SEL	
		R5,0(18L,R8)
		4(R5),X"FF"
	BNE	ASISLOOP
	MVC	4(1,R5),WORCOD
	В	ASISLOOP
FOLLO2	MVI	KD,X*00*
	L	K1,KK1
	BCTR	K1,0
	В	READ2
HALT2	СР	RIC,=P°O*
	BNE	FOLLON
EOJ	CLOSE	(STPLIST)
	1	R13.SAVEABEA+4
	RETUR	(14.12)
		CICONSTANTS ¹
KK1	00	E
	0.5	
	00	DE2
TAT	0.5	
	05	
RIC	05	PL2
BRUJA	US	PL2
BRUJO	DS	PL1
KO -	DS	XL1
ULTIMA	DS	F
K11	DS	F
TABLILLA	DS	15F
TRTBL	DC	256AL1(*-TRTBL)
	ORG	TRTBL+C!
	DC	X 1001
	ORG	
BLKSTR	DC	256X .00 .
	ORG	BLKSTR+C'
	nc .	C1 1
	08G	BIKSTR+C!*!
	nr	C1x1
	no r	
ADTV		254 4100 1
ADIK		
	UKG	ADIK+U'A'
		X*U4U8*
	UKG	ABIK+U•I•
	DC	X 10C 1
	OR G	ABTK+C *K*

	DC ORG	X*10*	9 9
K12 CTR	DS DS		
STPLIST	DCB END	DDNAME=STPLIST, MACRF=(GL), DSURG=PS, EUDAD	= 603

· .

•

•

THE ONIO STATE UNIVERSITY GRADUATE SCHOOL

The following information is to be submitted with the final copies of the thesis. Please type.

NAKE	Zam	ora	Antonio		DEGREE B.S.				
		Last	First	Middle					
DEPARTMENT Computer and Information Science									
TITL	OF THESI	S System Design	n Considerations	for Automatic Abs	stracting				
			na Mari Mari Mariyaanin Ani, Ana ya uu uunu uu karanga asayo nanananan karanga asayo		annan ann an ann an Anna ann ann ann ann				
andra andra andra an									
		Summarize in t and principa	fifty words or 1 al conclusions o	ess the purpose f your thesis					
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	This	thesis discusse	es the design of	an automatic abst	tracting				
	system.	Methods for sele	ecting material	to create an abst	ract and				
	<u>a data st</u>	ructure which pe	ermits effective	manipulation of	the data				
	are studi	ed. Abstracts a	are produced sol	ely by applying c	oherence				
##++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	and conte	extual inference	criteria in the	selection and re	jection				
••••	of senten	ices from the or	iginal document.	Abstracts which	are 10				
	to 20 per	cent the size o	f the original d	ocuments have been	n pro-				
	duced wit	h the aid of a d	dictionary of ap	proximately 700 e	ntries.				
•				-					
8-14-15-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-									
		•							
		. v _.		James S.	Dust				
				/ Advisor's Sig	năture				